

**ORANGE COUNTY  
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**  
*Technical Advisory Committee*

**Meeting Date / Location**

**Tuesday, August 3, 2010**

**9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.**

**City of Orange**

### Conference Room C

**300 Chapman Avenue**

## Orange, California

**Agenda Item**

## Staff

## Page

# INTRODUCTIONS

(Chair Marika Modugno)

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

(Chair Modugno)

## Agenda Descriptions

The agenda descriptions are intended to give members of the public a general summary of items of business to be transacted or discussed. The posting of the recommended actions does not indicate what action will be taken. The Board of Directors may take any action which it deems to be appropriate on the agenda item and is not limited in any way by the notice of the recommended action.

At this time members of the public may address the TAC regarding any items within the subject matter jurisdiction, which are not separately listed on this agenda. Members of the public will have an opportunity to speak on agenda items at the time the item is called for discussion. NO action may be taken on items not listed on the agenda unless authorized by law. Comments shall be limited to three minutes per person and an overall time limit of twenty minutes for the Public Comments portion of the agenda.

Any person wishing to address the TAC on any matter, whether or not it appears on this agenda, is requested to complete a "Request to Speak" form available at the door. The completed form is to be submitted to the TAC Chair prior to an individual being heard. Whenever possible, lengthy testimony should be presented to the TAC in writing and only pertinent points presented orally.

## ADMINISTRATION

- |    |   |                             |           |
|----|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | <p><b>OCCOG TAC Meeting Minutes</b></p> <p>♦ <b>Draft OCCOG TAC minutes for June 1, 2010 meeting</b></p> <p><u>Recommended Action:</u> Approve OCCOG TAC minutes of June 1, 2010, as presented or amended</p> | (Chair Modugno)             | <b>1</b>  |
| 2. | <p><b>OCCOG TAC Administration for Calendar Year 2010</b></p> <p>♦ <b>Election of Vice-Chair for Remainder of 2010</b></p> <p><u>Recommended Action:</u> Elect Vice-Chair to serve out remainder of 2010</p>  | (Chair Modugno) – 5 minutes | <b>10</b> |

Agenda Item		Staff	Page
<b>PRESENTATIONS, DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS, REPORTS</b>			
3.	<b>Orange County Projections (OCP-2010)</b>	(Deborah Diep, Center for Demographic Research) – 25 minutes	11
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Approve and forward the revised OCP-2010 control totals to the CDR MOC and OCCOG Board for approval.		
4.	<b>Housing Element</b> ♦ <b>Housing Element Progress Report</b>	(Melanie McCann, City of Santa Ana, and Tracy Sato, City of Irvine) – 40 minutes	14
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Discussion. Receive report.		
5.	<b>Regulation of Solar Energy Systems</b>	(Chair Modugno and Fern Nueno, City of Newport Beach) – 20 minutes	16
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Discussion.		
6.	<b>SCAG 2012 Regional Transportation Plan and Growth Forecast</b> ♦ Highlights of the July 20, 2010 California Air Resources Board SB 375 Target Setting Workshop ♦ Upcoming Release of SB 375 Targets ♦ Plans and Programs Technical Advisory Committee Meeting of July 13, 2010 ♦ Subregional Coordinators Meeting of July 1, 2010 and August 3, 2010 ♦ SCAG Regional Council and Policy Committees of July 1, 2010	(Chair Modugno) – 25 minutes	17
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report.		
7.	<b>OCCOG Board Meeting of June 24, 2010 and July 22, 2010</b>	(David Simpson, OCCOG Staff) – 15 minutes	18
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report.		
8.	<b>OCTA's Long Range Transportation Plan</b>	(Greg Nord, OCTA) – 15 minutes	
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report.		
9.	<b>Bay Area Air District CEQA Threshold of Significance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions</b>	(Chair Modugno) – 10 minutes	31
	<i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report.		
<b>REPORTS FROM THE CHAIR</b>		(Chair Modugno)	

**MATTERS FROM OCCOG TAC MEMBERS****OTHER BUSINESS**

(Chair Modugno)

**ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING**

(Chair Modugno)

**IMPORTANT DATES OR UPCOMING EVENTS**

- ◆ August 9, 2010: CARB releases SB 375 Targets for public review
- ◆ August 26, 2010: OCCOG Board Meeting/SCS Joint Working Group
- ◆ September 2, 2010: SCAG Regional Council and Policy Meetings
- ◆ September 23, 2010: OCCOG Board Meeting/SCS Joint Working Group
- ◆ September 23, 2010: CARB Board approves SB 375 Targets

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Adjourn to: September 7, 2010  
City of Orange Conference Room C  
300 Chapman Avenue  
Orange, California**



**ORANGE COUNTY  
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**  
*Technical Advisory Committee*

**Draft Summary Discussion and Action Minutes**

Meeting of June 1, 2010

The OCCOG Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting of May 4, 2010 was called to order by Chair Marika Modugno, City of Orange, City Hall, Conference Room C, 300 East Chapman, Orange, California, at 9:06 A.M. Attendees were invited by the Chair to introduce themselves. The list of meeting attendees is attached.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

There were no public comments.

**ADMINISTRATION**

**1. OCCOG TAC Meeting Minutes**

The minutes were moved for approval by Dave Simpson, OCCOG, to approve and a second by Pat Dupkus, City of Huntington Beach, followed by the TAC unanimously approving the minutes of the May 4, 2010.

**PRESENTATIONS, DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS, REPORTS**

**2. Orange County Projections (OCP-2010)**

Ms. Deborah Diep, Center for Demographic Research, thanked all the jurisdictions for the submittal of local agenda data. She also noted the next round of HIS data (April 1 and June 30, 2010) is due to CDR by July 16 so this information can be added to the statistical information needed for OCP-2010. The CDR Memorandum of Understanding was approved by the OCCOG Board last week at their May meeting. CDR will continue its work effort on the OCP-2010 and providing data support to OCCOG and OCTA during the OC SCS development.

The timelines are set for the Sustainable Communities Strategy and CDR anticipates that a second look of the OCP data set by local agencies is anticipated later this fall. This growth forecast requires critical review as it will feed into the SCS for Orange County.

**3. Orange County Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Development**

A report on the following items was given by David Simpson, OCCOG staff:

- ◆ MOU is now approved by the OCCOG Board.
- ◆ OCCOG/OCTA SCS Joint Working Committee – May 24, 2010 Meeting.
- ◆ Orange County SCS Timeline.
- ◆ Orange County SCS Next Steps – Development of a work plan, scope of work, Board meetings.

**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 2**

Mr. Simpson noted that this document was approved by the OCTA Board and SCAG. He explained some of the outstanding issues or concerns related to the approval of the MOU. The backup attachments of the document could raise questions with the SCAG regional council and the SCAG attorney.

The Caltrans audit is closer to resolution. Caltrans staff in Sacramento have looked at the information we provided them during the last couple of months. They have suggested changes (procedural) on our internal process and Mr. Simpson noted as part of this effort.

Marika Modugno conveyed her recommendation to disband the SCS working group that was formed in May. The purpose of this group was to advise the larger TAC on the policy and technical issues related to the development of the MOU for the Orange County SCS. Once the MOU was approved, this group was not necessary as the future issues on this topic should be covered at the TAC's monthly meetings with the benefit of the entire membership. The discussion continued, and action taken with a motion by Marika Modugno, City of Irvine, with a second by Dave Simpson, OCCOG staff, and voted unanimously to approve.

The TAC focused again on the issues related to crafting an Orange County SCS. The timeline is accelerated. SCAG has asked for status updates of our sub SCS so they can be in the loop and be able to identify issues as they occur throughout the crafting of the SCS. A number of items were also covered including the rolls and tasks of the OCCOG TAC; plus, the project timeline and deliverables local agencies would need to provide. The policy statements in the SCS are also a critical element of the document that will require our input. The TAC also covered the need to pull together OCCOG TAC comments on MOU and SCS issues and get them to TAC Chair. One member noted that just recently the ARB released a new BMPs list which will be something for us to look at for inclusion in our SCS.

#### **4. SCAG Meetings**

- ◆ Regional Conference and General Assembly – May 5-7, 2010
  - Recommended Greenhouse Gas reduction target range
  - RTAC meeting – May 25, 2010
- ◆ Plans and Programs TAC Meeting of May 11, 2010
- ◆ Regional Council and Policy Committee Meetings of June 3, 2010
- ◆ Subregional Coordinators Group Meeting of June 1, 2010
- ◆ Other Legislation – The list on legislation was covered generally.

Dave Simpson asked what the TAC membership would like to have a report on regarding the SCAG meetings listed above. TAC members gave the following comments which included information about: methodologies related to SCAG efforts (SCS or RHNA) data; training; housing issues (RHNA); and SCAG meetings could be a source of guest speakers and topics for future TAC meetings. Mr. Simpson shared his appreciation for this input and will focus in this direction with future reports.

Mr. Simpson shared about the GHG targets from the General Assembly workshop covering the target options of seven strategies for consideration. It was a “book end” approach with five

**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 3**

scenarios. Chair Modugno shared that staff from the Bay Area, Sacramento, SCAG, and San Diego MPOs gave presentations to the ARB on their approaches in developing their individual SCS. The 5<sup>th</sup> scenario developed by SCAG includes a land use plan framed from Blueprint/CLUS proposal from SCAG in 2009. For additional information on these presentations go to the ARB website. The general consensus is that the ARB will adopt a threshold of GHG about the 5 MMT for the SCAG region. The regional obligation is something yet to be determined and we will want to monitor.

Ms. Tracy Sato, City of Anaheim, spoke about the PR 2301 issues which are also tracking concurrently with the SCS effort. This serves as a report for item 8 as listed later in this agenda. The work on crafting an air quality rule is moving forward as the ARB is getting closer to the GHG targets. How the methodologies for BMP on reducing GHG levels to earlier 2000 and 1990 levels are key for both efforts. The RTAC targets are anticipated to be released by the end of June.

Ms. Diep shared that the SCAG panel of experts had met to look at the economic forecasts to determine how the economic down turn might impact future. The EDD job numbers were rebenchmarked in March and the result is lower 2009 job estimates for Orange County, as well as much of the state. This change will also affect the 2010 data. The panel expressed its concern that the population projections seemed reasonable but the job projections seemed high for the population projections. SCAG will decide if the job projections need to be lowered.

## **5. OCTA's Long Range Transportation Plan**

- ◆ Transit Strategy
- ◆ LRTP Goals and Objectives

Greg Nord shared that the approved transit strategy of the LRTP is available for review and comment on the OCTA website ([www.octa.net/lrtp](http://www.octa.net/lrtp)). Local agencies can submit their comments by early July. OCTA is also working on development of the freeway plan. The primary strategies include:

- Deliver committed projects, including Measure M2 (M2);
- Expand access for high-occupancy vehicles;
- Improve freeway system operations;
- Consider recent transportation studies;
- Promote environmental sustainability; and
- Seek additional funding opportunities.

The expanded use of Toll systems will be addressed in the plan, as well as the integration of freeways with transit and rideshare options. The freeway plan will be presented to the OCTA Board in July; and release of the LRTP is anticipated by September, with completion by the end of the year.

A TAC member inquired about the goal of getting service levels of OCTA back to 2008 levels. In 2009, state transit funds were cut and service levels were reduced accordingly. Mr. Nord shared that with the revenue forecast assumptions being used for the 2010 LRTP, service levels

**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 4**

should reach the 2008 levels roughly by 2035. The goal of 15 minute headways in the core transit areas (identified in the Transit Strategy) will be a priority goal, and can be assumed to be in place by 2035 for use in the SCS. The SCS scenarios of SCAG were discussed related to the recent transportation reductions and for the goal of reaching GHG target reductions. Mr. Nord further shared that for Orange County, even scenario 1 (the least aggressive of the 5 scenarios) is very aggressive in terms of the transit service levels that are assumed by SCAG, but OCTA will do what it can to improve transit service and contribute toward meeting the regional targets.

**6. SCAG 2012 Regional Transportation Plan and Growth Forecast**

**SCAG's Sustainability Tool Training**

Ms. Sato, City of Anaheim, gave additional information about this training for tomorrow, June 2, 2010. The tool is operational and it should be a valuable session for TAC members to learn how to use this software. SCAG is working on converting this data to a web based version this summer. A GIS background is not necessary to attend this workshop.

Ms. Modugno updated the TAC on the Demographic workshop held at USC on May 22 (Monday). Census staff gave an update on the response rates of Census at the workshop. The response is 72% which is far better than 2000. The timeframe for the release of census data was also shared. The first release of information will be March/April 2011 with the total number of housing unit and population down to the block level.

**7. OCCOG Board Meeting of May 27, 2010**

Dave Simpson, OCCOG staff, shared the actions taken by the OCCOG Board in May. He covered the legislative activity in Sacramento which was included with the TAC packet. The effort to suspend AB 32 continues to move forward for possible action. The behind the scenes approaches for nearly all COGs are following the assumption that SB 375 is continuing to move forward, which is the same approach Orange County is taking. The Governor would need to formally act to suspend either of these actions.

Mr. Simpson has had a couple of telephone calls from cities on the Strategic Growth Council Prop 84 planning grants that OCTA/OCCOG may also decide to pursue. There should be a coordinated effort as Orange County pursues such grants. In some cases there may be direct conflict (OCTA applying for the same funds as cities) and in that case OCTA may not support a city or OCCOG efforts with a letter. However, it may be that OCCOG can support city efforts via a letter of support. This may be another area OCCOG can demonstrate effectiveness for member agencies.

**8. Update on SCAQMD's Proposed Rule 2301**

*Recommended Action:* Receive report.

**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 5**

Ms. Sato shared earlier in the meeting that this new rule is anticipated to be released this summer prior to the release of the ARB GHG targets. She continues to monitor its release and will bring any action forward to the members at the summer TAC meetings.

**REPORT FROM THE CHAIR**

**None**

**MATTERS FROM OCCOG TAC MEMBERS**

Fern Nueno, City of Newport Beach, asked about how agencies handle wireless communication projects and the review of system networks. Do you have third party review for such issues as Newport Beach is seeing a rise in this kind of application? She asked for input from other agencies on how they review the full network and who might be a resource in terms of technical assistance. Several TAC members offered suggestions on this topic.

TAC Secretary, Adrienne Gladson, City of Brea, regretted that due to work assignments connected with the approval of the La Floresta project in Brea, she would need to resign as Secretary effective June 30, 2010. Chair Modugno expressed her thanks to Ms. Gladson for her 1½ years of service to the TAC. The TAC discussed options on how to record the minutes in the future (in regards to the MOU with SCAG regarding the Orange County SCS) with a number of options under consideration with the final decision to be determined prior to the July meeting.

**OTHER BUSINESS**

**None**

**ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING**

Housing Element discussion on the issues related to reporting housing units credited by permit issuance verses release of the units for occupancy will be provided for the August meeting.

**IMPORTANT DATES OR UPCOMING EVENTS**

- ♦ June 2, 2010: SCAG Sustainability Tool Training  
City of Anaheim  
Session 1: 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM  
Session 2: 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM
- ♦ June 3, 2010: SCAG Regional Council and Policy Committee Meetings  
SCAG Los Angeles Office

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned by Chair Modugno at 11:20 a.m. to Tuesday, July 6, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. at the City of Orange, Conference Room C.



**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 6**

Submitted by:

---

Marika Modugno, City of Irvine  
OCCOG-TAC Chair

**DRAFT - OCCOG TAC Minutes**  
**Meeting of June 1, 2010**  
**Page 7**

**Attendees List for June 1, 2010 Meeting**

Marika Modugno, TAC Chair, City of Irvine  
Art Bashmakian, City of Westminster  
Minoo Ashabi, City of Costa Mesa  
Anna Pehoushek, City of Orange  
Adrienne Gladson, City of Brea  
Erica Roess, City of Aliso Viejo  
Melanie McCann, City of Santa Ana  
Fern Nueno, City of Newport Beach  
Pat Dapkus, City of Huntington Beach  
Ron Santos, City of Lake Forest  
Dave Simpson, OCCOG  
Greg Nord, OCTA  
Deborah Diep, CDR/CSUF  
Scott Martin, CSUF/CDR  
Kori Nevarez, City of Cypress  
Tracy Sato, City of Anaheim  
Scott Reekstin, City of Tustin  
Carla Walecka, TCA  
Linda Smith, County of Orange  
Any Mullay, City of Irvine  
Elaine Lister, City of Mission Viejo  
Maria Parra, City of Garden Grove  
Roy Ramsland, City of La Habra



# OCCOG Technical Advisory Committee

Sign-In: Meeting of June 1, 2010

Name	Agency or City	Phone Number	E-mail Address
Adrienne Gladson	Brea	714/990-7724	adrienne.g@cityofbrea.net
Art Bashmakian	Westminster	714 548-3484	abashmakian@westminster-ca.gov
Tracy Sato	Anaheim	714 765-4942	tsato@anaheim.net
Scott Reekstin	Tustin	714.573.3016	sreekstin@tustinca.org
PAT DAPKUS	Hunt. Beh.	714 536-5579	PDA PKUS@SURFCITY-HB.ORG
Dave Simpson	OCCOG	714 560 5570	Dsimpson@octa.net
Deborah Diep	CAR/CSUF	657-278-4596	ddiep@fullerton.edu
Anna Peloushek	City of Orange	(714) 744-7228	apeloushek@cityoforange.org
LINDA SMITH	COUNTY OF ORANGE	(714) 667-8848	linda.smith@ocpw.ocgov.com
Mino Ashabi	City of Costa Mesa	714/754-5610	mashabi@ci.costa-mesa.ca.us
Roy Ransland	CITY OF LA HABRA	(562) 905-9724	ROYR@LAHABRACITY.COM
Fern Nueno	City of Newport Beach	949-644-3227	fhuena@newportbeach.ca.gov
RON SANTOS	CITY OF LAKE FOREST	949-461-3449	rsantos@lakeforestca.gov
Greg Nord	OCTA	714-560-5885	gnord@octa.net
MARIKA MODUGNO	CITY OF IRVINE	949-729-6456	mmodugno@cityofirvine.org
Scott Martin	CSUF	657-278-4709	smartine@fullerton.edu
Amy Mullay	City of Irvine	949 724-7454	amullay@cityofirvine.org
Elaine Lister	City of Mission Viejo	949.470.3029	elister@cityofmissionviejo.org
CARLA WALECKA	TCA	323/342-9373	cwalecka@earthlink.net
MARIA PARPA	City of Garden Grove	714.741.5312	maria.p@garden-grove.org
Kori Nevarez	City of Cypress	(714) 229-6724	knevarez@ci.cypress.ca.us



*Sign-In: Meeting of June 1, 2010*

[illegible]



**Item 2:**

**OCCOG TAC Administration Items**

**Recommended Action:**

1. Election of OCCOG TAC Vice-Chair for Remainder of Calendar Year 2010.

**Report**

---

Due to a change in work assignments, Heather Allen, City of Fullerton, has submitted her resignation as OCCOG TAC Vice-Chair. The TAC expresses their thanks to Ms. Allen and her years of service and dedication to the TAC.

It is necessary to elect a Vice-Chair who will be able to serve for the remainder of calendar year 2010. There are four meetings remaining for the year: September 7, 2010, October 5, 2010, November 9, 2010, and December 7, 2010. Of these meetings, the Vice-Chair will need to be available to chair the October meeting. Nominations will be considered at the August 3, 2010 meeting, and we look forward to active participation of member agencies for this officer position.

---

**Contact:**

Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)



**Item 3:**

**Orange County Projections 2010 Control Totals**

**Recommended Action:**

Approve and forward the revised OCP-2010 control totals to the CDR MOC and OCCOG Board for approval.

**Report**

Orange County Projections 2010 (OCP-2010) is an update of the 2006 Orange County Projections (OCP-2006), which is the existing policy projections dataset for Orange County. Population, housing and employment (jobs) are projected and adopted in five-year increments from 2008 to 2035 countywide and for the ten Regional Statistical Areas (RSA). These projections are recognized by the agencies that sponsor the CDR as the uniform data set for use in local and regional planning applications. OCP-2010 is the 11<sup>th</sup> iteration and is being developed initially for incorporation in the Orange County Transportation Authority's (OCTA) Long Range Transportation Plan. It will also be used in the Southern California Association of Governments' growth forecast for the 2012 Regional Transportation Plan and in the Orange County Sustainable Communities Strategy which is currently under joint-development by the OCTA and Orange County Council of Governments (OCCOG) as is allowed under SB 375.

Based on the OCP revision process, the OCP-2010 growth assumptions were developed and then approved by the CDR TAC in October 2009. CDR then proceeded with developing the County Control Totals for population, housing and employment. These control totals are somewhat flexible in that the sum of the jurisdictional input can deviate by +/- 1% from these totals.

The County Control Totals for population, housing and employment for 2008-2035 were approved with the notation that:

"The housing and employment control totals are 'working controls'. The final 2010 population, housing and employment totals will be estimates. The CDR TAC will review the 2010 controls in August 2010 after population, housing, and employment estimates are released by State DOF & EDD. If an adjustment is needed, they will make a recommendation for any changes to the CDR MOC."

The County Control Totals were approved by the following committees:

1. CDR TAC on December 8, 2009
2. CDR Management Oversight Committee on December 16, 2009
3. OCCOG TAC on March 2, 2010
4. OCCOG Board on March 25, 2010

Between fall 2009 and July 2010, CDR staff incorporated new population, housing, and employment data and the first round of local input submitted by the jurisdictions at the split traffic analysis zone (TAZ) level for population, housing, and employment. Two issues were identified:

1. Housing: The sum of local input on projected housing growth reflecting current general plans, approved projects, and entitlements for years 2025-2035 was greater than the control totals by 1.3%-1.5%. These fall outside the allowable range (+/- 1% of county totals).
2. Employment: March 2010 employment estimates released by the State Economic Development Department (EDD) were revised downwards as a result of their annual benchmark process. This revision represents a 3.1% difference with the EDD data CDR





used to generate the 2010 employment projection. With the recent, monthly EDD data, the revised 2010 job projection is estimated to be 44,300 lower than the approved 2010 County Control Total. In addition, local input on phasing of job growth based on economic development projects and general plans reflect a more even growth pattern over the course of the projections rather than a more immediate rebound in the first decade.

The modified control totals include the new EDD data for 2010 and reflect the local input phasing of housing and economic development projects. Based on past practices, the proposed revisions to the County Control Totals are being taken through the OCP update/revision process since the revisions are greater than the +/-1% allowed in the OCP update process.

At the July 21, 2010 CDR TAC meeting, the committee took action and approved revisions to the housing and employment control totals. In addition, a motion was approved to send the revised control totals approved by CDR TAC on July 21, 2010 to the August 3, 2010 OCCOG TAC, then to CDR MOC and OCCOG Board in August in order to allow OCTA to incorporate the OCP-2010 modified data into their Long-Range Transportation Plan, remain on schedule, and allow the maximum the time for local jurisdictions' review and approval.

Once the OCCOG Board has approved the modified County Totals, the projections will be updated and distributed to local jurisdictions which will then participate in the review and approval process. In January 2011, staff will present the Board a final set of Orange County Projections per the Orange County Projections' update/revision process after review and approval by the CDR Technical Advisory and Management Oversight Committees and the OCCOG TAC.

The attached table contains the adjusted employment totals and the 2025-2035 housing totals.

---

**Attachments:**

1. OCP-2010 Control totals

**Contact:**

Ms. Deborah Diep, Director, Center for Demographic Research  
657/278-4596  
[ddiep@fullerton.edu](mailto:ddiep@fullerton.edu)

**Line [A] 3/25/2010 Approved OCP-2010 County Control Totals**

		2008	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	Growth 2008-2035
1	Employment	1,624,508	1,552,659	1,647,373	1,763,135	1,794,759	1,819,181	1,838,018	213,510
2	Housing Units	1,035,186	1,044,234	1,069,273	1,098,698	1,122,380	1,136,512	1,155,032	119,846
3	Population	3,123,253	3,184,828	3,314,288	3,427,488	3,511,943	3,567,417	3,576,235	452,982

Note: Growth increments approved, 2010 estimates to be updated with information released summer 2010

Sums of disaggregated data may vary from county control totals by +/-1%

**[B] Proposed Revised OCP-2010 Control Totals as approved by CDR TAC July 21, 2010**

**Includes 2010 EDD benchmark, more even distribution of employment growth, and 1% increase to 2025-2035 housing (incorporates local input)**

		2008	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	Growth 2008-2035
4	Employment	1,624,061	1,508,363	1,566,500	1,645,135	1,703,717	1,757,620	1,795,963	171,902
5	Housing Units	1,035,303	1,044,234	1,069,273	1,098,698	1,133,604	1,147,877	1,166,582	131,279
6	Population	3,123,258	3,182,271	3,314,290	3,427,490	3,511,944	3,567,418	3,576,235	452,977

**Numerical difference with 3/2010 county controls [B-A]**

		2008	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035
7	Employment	-447	-44,296	-80,873	-118,000	-91,042	-61,561	-42,055
8	Housing Units	117	0	0	0	11,224	11,365	11,550
9	Population	5	-2,557	2	2	1	1	0

**Percent difference with 3/2010 county controls [B-A]**

10	Employment	0.0%	-2.9%	-4.9%	-6.7%	-5.1%	-3.4%	-2.3%
11	Housing Units	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
12	Population	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Persons Per Housing Unit**

		2008	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	
13		na	3.09	3.12	3.15	3.16	3.17	3.17	OCP-2006
14		3.02	3.05	3.10	3.12	3.13	3.14	3.10	[A] OCP-2010
15		3.02	3.05	3.10	3.12	3.10	3.11	3.07	[B] Revised OCP-2010 Control Totals

**Jobs/ Housing Ratio**

		2008	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	
16		na	1.63	1.66	1.69	1.70	1.71	1.72	OCP-2006
17		1.57	1.49	1.54	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.59	[A] OCP-2010
18		1.57	1.44	1.47	1.50	1.50	1.53	1.54	[B] Revised OCP-2010 Control Totals





**Item 4:**

**Recommended Actions:**

**Housing Element Progress Report**

1. Receive report and discussion.
2. Recommend one or combination of actions: a) Bring item forward to the TAC at a future date when HCD undertakes and update to the housing report regulations; b) Prepare a letter to HCD respectfully requesting consideration of changes in FUTURE consideration to amend the regulation; c) Request the OCCOG TAC be copied on any letter prepared by the City of Santa Ana; or d) review and file.

**Report**

---

Ms. Melanie McCann, City of Santa Ana, and Ms. Tracy Sato, City of Anaheim, will introduce a discussion piece related to the Housing Element Progress Report regulations. The discussion piece, prepared by Ms. McCann and Ms. Sato identifies the pros and cons related to reporting housing activity via building permits issued. Following introduction of the topic and discussion by the TAC, the TAC can recommend one or a combination of actions: a) recommend the issue be brought forward to the TAC at a future date when HCD undertakes an update to the annual housing report regulations; b) prepare a letter to HCD respectfully requesting consideration of changes in FUTURE consideration to amend the regulation; c) request the OCCOG TAC be copied on any letter prepared by the City of Santa Ana; or d) review and file.

---

**Attachment:**

Housing Element Progress Report Discussion Piece

**Contact:**

Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)

Ms. Melanie McCann, City of Santa Ana  
714/667-2746  
[MMcCann@santa-ana.org](mailto:MMcCann@santa-ana.org)

Ms. Tracy Sato, City of Anaheim  
714/765-4942  
[TSato@anaheim.net](mailto:TSato@anaheim.net)

## General Plan Housing Element: Annual Progress Report Regulations

**Background:** Government Code Section 65400 requires each governing body (City Council or Board of Supervisors) to prepare an annual report on the status and progress in implementing the jurisdiction's housing element of the general plan using forms and definitions adopted by the Department of Housing and Community Development (Department). HCD completed the rulemaking process and the final regulatory package has been approved by the State's Office of Administrative Law and has become effective March 27, 2010.

The annual progress report (APR) must be submitted to HCD and the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) on or before April 1, of each year for the prior calendar year. Note, per Government Code Section 65700, charter cities are exempt from preparing an annual report on the status of the housing element. However, all jurisdictions including charter cities must submit annual reports in those cases where submittal is required for certain funding programs. This link provides further explanation and the approved forms for the annual report.

[http://www.hcd.ca.gov/hpd/housing\\_element2/OR\\_apr.php](http://www.hcd.ca.gov/hpd/housing_element2/OR_apr.php)

**Discussion Points:** The requirement to use building permits issued, instead of permits finaled to reflect residential activity during the reporting year.

**What is the intent of the Housing Element Progress Report? Is it to quantify local willingness to approve new housing, or quantify actual new housing construction?**

<b>PROS</b> to reporting Housing Activity via Building Permits ISSUED	<b>CONS</b> to reporting Housing Activity via Building Permits ISSUED
<b>Demonstrates local efforts and willingness</b> to approve the construction of new housing acknowledging that <b>local governments do not construct housing</b> or guarantee housing will be built.	<b>Does not reflect the real housing construction</b> to provide housing to serve the local and regional needs.
<b>Consistent with current state housing laws</b> that require <b>local governments to provide the opportunity for housing</b> to be developed.	<b>Inconsistent with other State and local demographic professionals</b> that collect housing change data by permits finaled and occupancy; including State of California Department of Finance, and Cal State Fullerton Center for Demographic Research which helps prepare Orange County Projections for employment, population and housing growth.
<b>Utilizes existing data</b> that is typically provided by building department staff who reports permit applications received to the U.S. Census Bureau the permits and valuation of housing.	Using two housing activity methodologies for (building permits issued and permits finaled/occupancy) appears <b>inefficient use of staff resources</b> for local jurisdictions and counties to report housing change via two methods
Reporting a building permit issued for a new housing development <b>demonstrates the willingness of the local government to not only approve the housing through the entitlement process, but to also approve the permit to construct the housing.</b> If a housing project is not built after a permit is issued, the land will likely become available for future housing opportunities.	Similar to reporting housing projects entitled, building permits issued <b>may never be built.</b> Counting issued building permits for new housing could also lead to <b>double-counting</b> , particularly if permits expire and are reissued at a later date to new owner or developer.

July 28, 2010



**Item 5: Regulation of Solar Energy Systems**

**Recommended Actions:** Receive report and discussion.

**Report**

---

The Solar Rights Act, amended in 2004 by Assembly Bill 2473, prohibits local agencies from adopting ordinances that create unreasonable barriers to the installation of solar energy systems, including, but not limited to, design review for aesthetics purposes. The amended version of the Solar Rights Act became effective on January 1, 2005. According to the amended version of the Solar Rights Act, local authorities shall approve applications through permit issuance and can only restrict solar installations based on health and safety reasons.

Ms. Marika Modugno, OCCOG TAC Chair, and Ms. Fern Nueno, City of Newport Beach, will initiate discussion of this item. TAC members are encouraged to discuss any residential or commercial solar ordinances that were adopted in their local jurisdictions and provide any information on what city or county planning departments can do to regulate solar energy systems, if at all.

---

**Contact:** Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)

Ms. Fern Nueno, City of Newport Beach  
949/644-3227  
[fnueno@newportbeachca.gov](mailto:fnueno@newportbeachca.gov)



**Item 6:** **SCAG 2012 Regional Transportation Plan and Growth Forecast**

**Recommended Action:** Receive report.

## **Report**

---

Ms. Marika Modugno, OCCOG TAC Chair, will brief the TAC on the key highlights of the July 20, 2010 California Air Resources Board (CARB) SB 375 Target Setting Workshop, the Plans and Programs Technical Advisory Committee meeting of July 13, 2010, the Subregional Coordinators Group meeting of July 1, 2010 and August 3, 2010, and the SCAG Regional Council and Policy Committee meetings of July 1, 2010. Chair Modugno will also outline the next steps for the release of the SB 375 targets by CARB.

The “Draft Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Automobiles and Light Trucks Pursuant to Senate Bill 375” released by CARB on June 30, 2010 can be found at:

- ◆ <http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/targets/drafttargetrelease.pdf>

The agendas for all SCAG meetings are posted at [www.scag.ca.gov](http://www.scag.ca.gov) and are located on each committee’s webpage included below.

- ◆ Regional Council: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/committees/rc.htm>
- ◆ Energy and Environment Committee: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/committees/eec.htm>
- ◆ Community, Economic and Human Development:  
<http://www.scag.ca.gov/committees/cehd.htm>
- ◆ Transportation Committee: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/committees/tc.htm>
- ◆ Plans and Programs TAC: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/pptac/index.htm>
- ◆ Subregional Coordinators Group: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/agendas.htm>

---

**Contact:** Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)



**Item 7:** **OCCOG Board Meetings of June 24, 2010 and July 22, 2010**  
**Recommended Action:** Receive report.

**Report**

---

Mr. David Simpson, OCCOG Staff, will brief the TAC on the key highlights of the June 24, 2010 and July 22, 2010 meetings of the OCCOG Board. Actions and discussions of the Board related to specific items on the TAC's agenda will be discussed relative to the respective item. In addition, Mr. Simpson will be available to discuss the attached State Legislative Update matrix, which highlights legislation of interest to OCCOG.

The agenda for the Board meeting is posted at <http://www.octa.net/occog.aspx>. This link was also provided to the TAC members via e-mail prior to the Board meeting. Please bring your copy of the OCCOG Board agenda packet to the TAC meeting for reference purposes. The State Legislative Update is attached to this item as Attachment 1.

---

**Attachments:** 1. State Legislative Update July 22, 2010

**Contact:** Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)  
  
Mr. David Simpson, OCCOG Staff  
714/560-5570  
[dsimpson@octa.net](mailto:dsimpson@octa.net)

**Orange County Council of Governments**  
**Bills of Interest**  
**2010 State Legislation Session**  
**July 22, 2010**

ITEM 7: ATTACHMENT 1

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<b>AB 231 (Huffman – D)</b>  Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Trust Fund	Requires the State Air Resources Board (ARB) to adopt a schedule of fees to be paid by the sources of greenhouse emissions which would be deposited in the Climate Protection Trust Fund for purposes of carrying out AB 32 - Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Chapter 488, Statutes of 2006). Requires federal climate change funds to be deposited into the fund.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/05/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/23/2009 <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Environmental Quality Committee  <b>STATUS:</b> 06/23/2009 From SENATE Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY with author's amendments  06/23/2009 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	(partial list) Support: Environmental Defense Fund, The Trust for Public Land, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO), Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)  Oppose: California Chamber of Commerce (CalChamber), California Taxpayers Association (CalTax), California Retailers Association
<b>AB 266 (Carter – D)</b>  Transportation Needs Assessment	Requires the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to develop an assessment of the unfunded costs of programmed state projects and federally earmarked projects in the state, as well as an assessment of available funding for transportation purposes and unmet transportation needs on a statewide basis.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/11/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 04/20/2009 <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Rules Committee  <b>STATUS:</b> 06/11/2009 To SENATE Committee on RULES	Support: California Transit Association (CTA), AFL-CIO, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<b>AB 726 (Nielson – R)</b>  Transportation Capital Improvement Projects	States that local road rehabilitation projects are eligible for transportation capital improvement funds pursuant to the State Transportation Improvement Program.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/26/2009 <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Transportation and Housing Committee  <b>STATUS:</b> 06/16/2009 In SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Not heard	Sponsor: California State Association of Counties (CSAC), Regional Council of Rural Counties  Support: League of California Cities
<b>► AB 987 (Ma – D)</b>  Transit Village Development Districts: Financing	Amends the Transit Village Development Planning Act of 1994 that authorizes a city or county to create a transit village plan for a transit village development district. Recasts the area included in a transit village development district to include all land within not more than 1/2 mile of the main entrance of a transit station. Makes additional legislative findings. Makes technical, nonsubstantive changes.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/27/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/20/2010 <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Third Reading File</i>  <b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/17/2010 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.</i>	Support: VTA, San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District  Oppose: Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association (HJTA)
<b>AB 1405 (De Leon – D)</b>  California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006	Amends AB 32. Establishes the Community Benefits Fund. Requires a specified percentage of revenues generated from fees paid by the sources of greenhouse gas emissions under AB 32 to be deposited into the fund. Provides that fund moneys will mitigate health impacts and reduce greenhouse emissions in the most disadvantaged and impacted communities in the state. Requires a related report on how these provisions will be implemented. Requires a review panel.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/27/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 09/01/2009 <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Inactive File  <b>STATUS:</b> 09/10/2009 In SENATE. From third reading. To Inactive File.	Sponsor: Center on Race and the Environment, Coalition for Clean Air, Greening, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.  Support: Planning and Conservation League, Sierra Club. Environmental Defense Fund  Oppose: CalChamber, CalTax, Pacific Gas and Electric Company

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<b>AB 1638 (Assembly Budget Committee)</b>  Local Governments: Revenue	Authorizes, in conjunction with an approved constitutional amendment, local government entities to develop and implement a Countywide Strategic Action Plan to use existing and additional resources to ensure progress toward common community goals. Authorizes a governing board to place before the voters an increase in the sales and use tax to be distributed pursuant to an adopted plan. Prohibits the amount of a school district's revenue limit funding from taking into account any revenue from certain taxes.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 01/11/2010 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 03/17/2010 <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Budget Committee  <b>STATUS:</b> 03/17/2010 From ASSEMBLY Committee on BUDGET with author's amendments  03/17/2010 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on BUDGET.	None Listed
<b>► AB 1846 (Perez – D)</b>  Environment: Expedited Environmental Review	Requires environmental analysis be performed for a rule or regulation that requires the installation of pollution control equipment or a performance standard or treatment required pursuant to AB 32. Authorizes the use of the focused environmental impact report for a project that reduces greenhouse gas emissions in compliance with a rule or regulation adopted pursuant to AB 32.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/12/2010 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/21/2010 <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Appropriations Committee</i>  <b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/21/2010 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.</i>	Sponsor: California Council for Environmental and Economic Balance  Support: California Apartment Association, California Business Properties Association, CalChamber, California Forestry Association, Southern California Edison



BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>AB 1867 (Harkey – R)</b></p> <p>Land Use: Local Planning: Housing Element Program</p>	<p>Modifies the eligibility requirements for a unit to be considered as substantially rehabilitated by revising the requirement that the unit to be rehabilitated is initially found to be a substandard building due to the existence of various specified conditions. Revises the criterion of being located in a multifamily rental housing complex.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/12/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 04/26/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Second Reading File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/29/2010 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Do pass as amended</i></p>	<p>Support: City of San Juan Capistrano (sponsor), CSAC, City of Buena Park, City of Mission Viejo, City of San Clemente</p>
<p>► <b>AB 2147 (Perez – D)</b></p> <p>Safe Routes to School</p>	<p>Relates to the Safe School Routes Construction Program. Amends existing law that requires Caltrans to make grants available to local agencies under the program through a competitive grant process that considers various factors in the rating the proposals. Requires, in rating a proposal, the consideration of the proposal's benefit to a disadvantaged community, the use of a public participation process, and the degree to which the public's concerns are incorporated into the proposal.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/18/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/03/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Second Reading File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/29/2010 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Do pass as amended to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>(partial list)  Sponsor: California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation, PolicyLink</p> <p>Support: Planning and Conservation League, TransForm, California Food Policy Advocates, Central Valley Partnership for Citizenship, Prevention Institute</p>

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>AB 2313</b> <b>(Buchanan – D)</b></p> <p>Greenhouse Gas: Emissions: Significant Effects</p>	<p>Amends CEQA to require the Office of Planning and Research, at the time of the next review of guidelines to implement the act, to prepare, develop, and transmit to the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency recommended proposed changes or amendments to the guidelines regarding standards for determining significant effects on the environment resulting for greenhouse gas emissions. Requires the adoption of those recommendations upon receipt and review.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/21/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate  Environmental Quality  Committee</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/21/2010 <i>From  SENATE Committee on  ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  with author's amendments</i></p> <p>06/21/2010 <i>In SENATE. Read  second time and amended.  Re-referred to Committee on  ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY</i></p>	<p>Sponsor: CBIA</p>
<p>► <b>AB 2329 (Ruskin – D)</b></p> <p>Greenhouse Gas Emissions; Climate Action Team</p>	<p>Creates the Climate Action Team, under the direction of the Secretary for Environmental Protection and consisting of representatives from specified state agencies, that would be responsible for coordinating the state's overall climate policy, identifying and reviewing activities and funding programs, recommending policies, investment strategies, and priorities, and providing information to local governments and regional agencies.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/21/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Second  Reading File</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/28/2010 <i>From  SENATE Committee on  ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:  Do pass as amended to  Committee on  APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>None Listed</p>

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>AB 2508</b> <b>(Caballero – D)</b></p> <p>Infill Incentive Grant Program of 2007</p>	Amends the Infill Incentive Grant Program of 2007 that provides grants to facilitate the development of qualifying infill residential projects. Allows a local agency to petition the Department of Housing and Community Development for an exception to the classification of its jurisdiction under the Planning and Zoning Law, if that agency believes it is unable to meet threshold density requirements for the program. Establishes procedures for the exception request.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/03/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Second Reading File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/29/2010 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Do pass as amended</i></p>	None Listed
<p>► <b>AB 2509</b> <b>(Hayashi – D)</b></p> <p>Transit Village Plan</p>	Amends existing law that authorizes a city or county to create a transit village plan for a transit village development district and authorizes the city or county to prepare a village transit plan for the district that addresses specified characteristics. Revises the list of characteristics that a transit village plan may address to add other land uses, including educational facilities that provide direct linkages for people traveling to and from educational institutions.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 04/08/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Inactive File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/24/2010 In SENATE. To Inactive File</i></p>	Support: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
<p>► <b>AB 2703 (Perez – D)</b></p> <p>Federal Transportation Stimulus Funds: 2<sup>nd</sup> Round</p>	Provides the authority to advance certain projects with loans of federal funds awarded to the state in 2010 under the 2nd round of federal transportation economic stimulus funds. Provides the requirements to be determined eligible for an advance. Provides that upon repayment of these loans, these funds would be available for appropriation for the State Highway Operation and Protection Program.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/17/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Appropriations Committee</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/29/2010 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	None Listed

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>AB 2754 (Perez – D)</b></p> <p>Land Use and Planning: Planning and Clearinghouse Unit</p>	<p>Establishes the Planning and State Clearinghouse Unit to evaluate plans and programs of state government, identify conflicts or omissions in those plans and programs, and to recommend to the Governor and Legislature new actions to resolve those conflicts, advance statewide environmental goals, and ensure state policies and programs conform to adopted land use planning goals and programs, and to assist in the sustainable communities strategy. Establishes the Strategic Growth Council.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/23/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Appropriations Committee</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/30/2010 <i>From SENATE Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>None Listed</p>
<p>► <b>AJR 26 (Chesbro – D)</b></p> <p>Climate Change</p>	<p>Requests the Congress to establish a comprehensive framework, including funding, for adapting our nation's wildlife, habitats, coasts, watersheds, rivers, and other natural resources and ecosystems to the impacts of climate change.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 09/09/2009  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 01/25/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Third Reading File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/14/2010 <i>From SENATE Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: Be adopted</i></p>	<p>Support: Audubon California, Defenders of Wildlife, Nature Conservancy</p>
<p>► <b>SB 194 (Florez – D)</b></p> <p>Community Equity Investment Act of 2010</p>	<p>Enacts the Community Equity Investment Act of 2010. Makes legislative findings and declarations relating to disadvantaged, unincorporated communities. Specifies how funds received pursuant to the federal State Community Development Block Grant Program are expended at the local government level.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/23/2009  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/15/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Assembly Second Reading File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/30/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass as amended</i></p>	<p>(partial list)  Support: California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation, Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, Environmental Justice Coalition</p> <p>Oppose: League of California Cities</p>

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<b>SB 326</b> <b>(Strickland – R)</b>  Land Use: Housing Element	Amends the Planning and Zone Law. Provides that if a city or county in the prior planning period failed to identify or make available adequate sites to accommodate that portion of the regional housing need allocated to it, the city or county, within the first year of the planning period of the new housing element, would be authorized to identify adequate sites to accommodate the un-accommodated portion of the regional housing need allocation from the prior planning period.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/25/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/22/2009 <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Local Government Committee  <b>STATUS:</b> 06/22/2009 From ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT with author's amendments  06/22/2009 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT.	Sponsor: County of Santa Barbara
<b>► SB 454</b> <b>(Lowenthal – D)</b>  Land Use: Zoning Regulations	Amends existing law which imposes, until a specified date, notice and procedural requirements on an owner of specified types of government-subsidized rental housing regarding the owner's decision not to extend or renew participation in government-subsidized housing programs, including a requirement that the owner give notice of the opportunity to purchase the property to specified entities. Deletes the repeal of these provisions. Modifies the purchase opportunity notice information.	<b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/26/2009 <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/27/2010 <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Assembly Appropriations Committee</i>  <b>STATUS:</b> <i>06/30/2010 From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i>	Sponsors: California Coalition for Rural Housing and California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation  Support: California Housing Partnership Corporation, City of Los Angeles, Western Center on Law and Poverty

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>SB 812 (Ashburn – R)</b></p> <p>Development Services: Housing</p>	<p>Relates to development services and housing for persons with disabilities in cities, counties, or cities and counties. Requires the local government, as part of the next planning period commencing after a specified date, to obtain, assess, and analyze appropriate information on the housing needs of individuals with developmental disabilities within the community.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/27/2009  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/28/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Assembly Appropriations Committee</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/30/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>Support: Housing California</p>
<p>► <b>SB 1006 (Pavley – D)</b></p> <p>Natural Resources: Climate Change</p>	<p>Requires the Strategic Growth Council to take certain actions with regard to coordinating programs to address climate change impacts. Requires the council to additionally provide, fund, and distribute information to local governments and regional agencies regarding climate change strategies, projects, and activities. Requires the council to manage and award financial assistance to specified local entities for those activities.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/10/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/21/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Assembly Appropriations Committee</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/28/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>(partial list)</p> <p>Sponsor: Audubon California, Defenders of Wildlife, The Nature Conservancy</p> <p>Support: California Coastkeeper Alliance, California ReLeaf, Watershed Conservation Authority</p> <p>Oppose: California Central Valley Flood Control Association (unless amended)</p>

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>SB 1033 (Wright – D)</b></p> <p>Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Allowances</p>	<p>Requires the ARB, if market-based compliance mechanisms are adopted, to sell, trade or otherwise distribute an allowance, defined as an authorization to emit greenhouse gas emissions, only to a regulated entity subject to the greenhouse gas emissions limit to which that allowance applies. Authorizes a regulated entity to sell or trade an allowance only to another regulated entity.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/12/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 04/26/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Natural Resources Committee</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/28/2010 <i>In ASSEMBLY Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES: Held in committee</i></p>	<p>None Listed</p>
<p>► <b>SB 1174 (Wolk – D)</b></p> <p>Land Use: General Plan</p>	<p>Requires a city or county to amend its general plan to the extent necessary to address the presence of island, fringe, or legacy unincorporated communities inside or near its boundaries. Requires the amended general plan to include specified information. Requires a city of county, after the initial amendment of its general plan, to review its general plan to update its information, goals and program of action relating to these communities.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/18/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/24/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Appropriations Committee</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/30/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>None Listed</p>
<p>► <b>SB 1207 (Kehoe – D)</b></p> <p>Land Use: General Plan: Safety Element</p>	<p>Revises the safety element requirements for state responsibility areas and very high fire hazard severity zones. Requires the safety element upon each revision of the housing element, to be reviewed and updated as necessary to address the risk of fire in state responsibility areas and very high fire hazard severity zones, taking into account specified considerations.</p>	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/18/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 03/24/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Appropriations Committee</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/28/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>Support: American Planning Association, California State Firefighters' Association, Orange County Professional Firefighters Association, Sierra Club</p> <p>Oppose: CSAC, Regional Council of Rural Counties</p>

BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>SB 1299</b> (Lowenthal – D)</p> <p>Vehicles: Vehicle Miles Traveled Fee</p>	Requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to develop and implement a pilot program designed to assess various issues related to implementing a vehicle miles traveled (VMT) fee in the state.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Senate Appropriations Committee</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 05/27/2010 <i>In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: Held in committee</i></p>	<p>Support: Professional Engineers of California Government, Transportation California</p> <p>Oppose: CalTax</p>
<p>► <b>SB 1348</b> (Steinberg – D)</p> <p>California Transportation Commission</p>	This bill would establish specified procedures that the CTC would be required to utilize when it adopts guidelines pursuant to a statutory authorization or mandate that exempts the CTC from the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/17/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Consent Calendar</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 07/01/2010 <i>In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.</i></p>	None Listed
<p>► <b>SB 1371</b> (Lowenthal – D)</p> <p>Federal Transportation Economic Stimulus Funds</p>	Requires Caltrans to work with local transportation agencies to develop a list of potential projects that may be awarded within a 90-day period of the award to the state of second round federal transportation economic stimulus funds. Requires Caltrans to submit a monthly status report to the Legislature with respect to certain milestones for expenditure of these funds.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 06/16/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> Assembly Consent Calendar</p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> 06/30/2010 <i>From ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: Do pass. To Consent Calendar</i></p> <p>07/01/2010 <i>In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.</i></p>	None Listed



BILL NO. / AUTHOR	COMMENTARY	STATUS	OTHER AGENCY POSITIONS
<p>► <b>SB 1445</b> <b>(DeSaulnier – D)</b></p> <p>Planning</p>	Increases the vehicle registration fee by \$1, revenues to be used by regions to create or implement plans developed under SB 375 (Chapter 728, Statutes of 2008) or regional blueprints. Extends deadline for the Strategic Growth Council to report to the Legislature to July 1, 2012. Modifies membership of the Planning Advisory and Assistance Council.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 05/13/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Assembly Appropriations Committee</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>07/01/2010 In ASSEMBLY Committee on TRANSPORTATION: Reconsideration granted</i></p> <p><i>07/01/2010 From ASSEMBLY Committee on TRANSPORTATION: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS</i></p>	<p>Sponsor: CALCOG</p> <p>Support: American Planning Association, Association of Bay Area Governments</p>
<p>► <b>SB 1446 (Correa – D)</b></p> <p>Endangered and Threatened Species: Incidental Take</p>	Relates to the requirements for incidental take permits under the California Endangered Species Act that the applicant ensure there is adequate funding to implement minimization and mitigation measures. Would require an applicant that is a city, county or other lead agency be deemed to meet this requirement if they comply with specified financial and accounting requirements and certify that it will annually appropriate sufficient money to fund its minimization and mitigation obligations.	<p><b>INTRODUCED:</b> 02/19/2010  <b>LAST AMENDED:</b> 04/20/2010  <b>LOCATION:</b> <i>Senate Inactive File</i></p> <p><b>STATUS:</b> <i>05/27/2010 In SENATE. From third reading. To Inactive File.</i></p>	<p>(partial list)</p> <p>Sponsor: County of Orange</p> <p>Support: OCTA, CSAC, League of California Cities, Orange County Employees Association</p> <p>Oppose: Audubon California, Planning and Conservation League, Trust for Public Land</p>



**Item 9:** **Bay Area Air District CEQA Thresholds of Significance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions**  
**Recommended Action:** Receive report.

### **Report**

---

On June 2, 2010, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District became the first regulatory agency in the nation to approve guidelines that establish thresholds of significance for greenhouse gas emissions from proposed development projects. The guidelines also establish levels of significance associate with other emissions. The adopted thresholds of significance are attached for reference.

---

**Attachments:** **Bay Area Air District CEQA Thresholds of Significance**

**Contact:** Ms. Marika Modugno, Chair, OCCOG TAC (City of Irvine)  
949/724-6456  
[mmodugno@cityofirvine.org](mailto:mmodugno@cityofirvine.org)

## Adopted Air Quality CEQA Thresholds of Significance\* - June 2, 2010

Pollutant	Construction-Related	Operational-Related	
Project-Level			
Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors (Regional)	Average Daily Emissions (lb/day)	Average Daily Emissions (lb/day)	Maximum Annual Emissions (tpy)
ROG	54	54	10
NO <sub>x</sub>	54	54	10
PM <sub>10</sub>	82 (exhaust only)	82	15
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	54 (exhaust only)	54	10
PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> (fugitive dust)	Best Management Practices	None	
Local CO	None	9.0 ppm (8-hour average), 20.0 ppm (1-hour average)	
<b>GHGs</b> <b>Projects other than Stationary Sources</b>	None	Compliance with Qualified Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy OR 1,100 MT of CO <sub>2</sub> e/yr OR 4.6 MT CO <sub>2</sub> e/SP/yr (residents + employees)	
<b>GHGs</b> <b>Stationary Sources</b>	None	10,000 MT/yr	
<b>Risk and Hazards – New Source</b> (Individual Project)	Same as Operational Thresholds**	Compliance with Qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan OR Increased cancer risk of >10.0 in a million Increased non-cancer risk of > 1.0 Hazard Index (Chronic or Acute) Ambient PM <sub>2.5</sub> increase: > 0.3 µg/m <sup>3</sup> annual average <u>Zone of Influence:</u> 1,000-foot radius from fence line of source or receptor	
<b>Risk and Hazards – New Receptor</b> (Individual Project)  <i>Note: Threshold Effective Date January 1, 2011</i>	Same as Operational Thresholds**	Compliance with Qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan OR Increased cancer risk of >10.0 in a million Increased non-cancer risk of > 1.0 Hazard Index (Chronic or Acute) Ambient PM <sub>2.5</sub> increase: > 0.3 µg/m <sup>3</sup> annual average <u>Zone of Influence:</u> 1,000-foot radius from fence line of source or receptor	

\* It is the Air District's policy that the adopted thresholds apply to projects for which a Notice of Preparation is published, or environmental analysis begins, on or after the applicable effective date. The adopted CEQA thresholds – *except for the risk and hazards thresholds for new receptors* – are effective June 2, 2010. The risk and hazards thresholds for new receptors are effective January 1, 2011.

\*\* The Air District recommends that for construction projects that are less than one year duration, Lead Agencies should annualize impacts over the scope of actual days that peak impacts are to occur, rather than the full year.

## Adopted Air Quality CEQA Thresholds of Significance\* - June 2, 2010

Pollutant	Construction-Related	Operational-Related
<b>Risk and Hazards – New Source</b> (Cumulative Thresholds)	Same as Operational Thresholds**	<p>Compliance with Qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan OR</p> <p>Cancer: &gt; 100 in a million (from all local sources)</p> <p>Non-cancer: &gt; 10.0 Hazard Index (from all local sources) (Chronic)</p> <p>PM<sub>2.5</sub>: &gt; 0.8 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual average (from all local sources)</p> <p><u>Zone of Influence</u>: 1,000-foot radius from fence line of source or receptor</p>
<b>Risk and Hazards – New Receptor</b> (Cumulative Thresholds)  <i>Note: Threshold Effective Date January 1, 2011</i>	Same as Operational Thresholds**	<p>Compliance with Qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan OR</p> <p>Cancer: &gt; 100 in a million (from all local sources)</p> <p>Non-cancer: &gt; 10.0 Hazard Index (from all local sources) (Chronic)</p> <p>PM<sub>2.5</sub>: &gt; 0.8 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual average (from all local sources)</p> <p><u>Zone of Influence</u>: 1,000-foot radius from fence line of source or receptor</p>
<b>Accidental Release of Acutely Hazardous Air Pollutants</b>	None	Storage or use of acutely hazardous materials locating near receptors or receptors locating near stored or used acutely hazardous materials considered significant
<b>Odors</b>	None	Complaint History—5 confirmed complaints per year averaged over three years
<b>Plan-Level</b>		
<b>Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors</b>	None	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistency with Current Air Quality Plan control measures</li> <li>Projected VMT or vehicle trip increase is less than or equal to projected population increase</li> </ol>
<b>GHGs</b>	None	<p>Compliance with Qualified Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy (or similar criteria included in a General Plan)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>6.6 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e/ SP/yr (residents + employees)</p>
<b>Risks and Hazards</b>	None	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overlay zones around existing and planned sources of TACs (including adopted Risk Reduction Plan areas)</li> <li>Overlay zones of at least 500 feet (or Air District-approved modeled distance) from all freeways and high volume roadways</li> </ol>
<b>Odors</b>	None	Identify locations of odor sources in general plan
<b>Accidental Release of Acutely Hazardous Air Pollutants</b>	None	None
<b>Regional Plans (Transportation and Air Quality Plans)</b>		
<b>GHGs, Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors, and Toxic Air Contaminants</b>	None	No net increase in emissions

CO = carbon monoxide; CO<sub>2</sub>e = carbon dioxide equivalent; GHGs = greenhouse gases; lb/day = pounds per day; MT = metric tons; NO<sub>x</sub> = oxides of nitrogen; PM<sub>2.5</sub> = fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic resistance diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less; PM<sub>10</sub> = respirable particulate matter with an aerodynamic resistance diameter of 10 micrometers or less; ppm = parts per million; ROG = reactive organic gases; SP = service population; tpy = tons per year; yr = year.