

**ORANGE COUNTY LOCAL
TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORTS

Year Ended June 30, 2024

**ORANGE COUNTY LOCAL
TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORTS

Year Ended June 30, 2024

The cities listed below were selected by the Audit Subcommittee of the Taxpayers Oversight Committee to perform agreed-upon procedures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Please refer to the individual divider tab for our report on each Agency.

Buena Park

Laguna Niguel

Laguna Woods

Mission Viejo

San Juan Capistrano

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
CITY OF BUENA PARK

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) (the specified party), related to the City of Buena Park's (City) compliance with certain provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance (Ordinance) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the Ordinance and for its cash, revenue and expenditure records.

The Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the OCLTA has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the City's compliance with certain provisions of the Ordinance as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We make no representation regarding the appropriateness of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves performing specific procedures that the engaging party has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed.

The procedures and associated findings were as follows:

1. Obtain and read the Cooperative Agreement for the Senior Mobility Program between OCLTA and the Eligible Jurisdiction and determine that the agreement was properly approved and executed.

Findings: No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

2. Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, activity code, and account number. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its General Fund (11), activity code (275325), and various account numbers. The City reported \$109,785 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U), which agreed to the M2 funded portion of total expenditures, excluding the match funds. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

(Continued)

3. Obtain a listing of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program payments made from OCLTA to the Eligible Jurisdiction and calculate the amount the Eligible Jurisdiction received for the past three fiscal years. Obtain the fund balance of the Eligible Jurisdiction's Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program as of June 30, 2024, agree to the balance as listed on the Eligible Jurisdictions' Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) and determine whether funds were expended within three years of receipt or within five years, if an extension was granted. For payments received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, agree to amount listed as received on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City received \$292,211 for the past three years fiscal years ended June 30, 2022, 2023, and 2024. We compared the fund balance of \$39,099 from the general ledger detail to the fund balance reported in the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) of \$39,099; no difference was identified. We determined funds were expended within three years of receipt. We agreed payments received from OCLTA totaling \$88,621 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, to the general ledger detail and to the amount listed as received on the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U) without exception. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

4. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction's interest allocation and fare collection methodologies are adequate to ensure the proper amount of interest/program revenue was credited to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Fund. Agree the amount reflected to the amount of interest listed on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 – Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the City's interest allocation methodology. We identified interest income of \$1,174, which is calculated by taking the fund's ending cash balance and applying the proportionate rate of the SMP to the total fund against the total interest revenue. The City reported \$1,174 of interest income for the year ended June 30, 2024, which agreed to the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Additionally, we inquired of City personnel and inspected the City's general ledger detail regarding fare collection methodologies. The City did not charge fares for senior transportation services during the year. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

5. Determine that the Eligible Jurisdiction satisfied the requirement of twenty percent (20%) matching of the total annual formula allocation (i.e., accrual-basis funding allocation) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Findings: We received the City's general ledger detail of matching expenditures, scanned for the types and sources of matching and agreed to supporting documentation, such as invoices, to determine whether the match amounts were justifiable and acceptable under the Ordinance and Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines. The total match expenditures amounted to \$36,321 which was approximately 25% of the total expenditures of \$146,106 (M2 funded portion of \$109,785 and City's matching portion of \$36,321) which agreed to the City's general ledger detail of the M2 total expenditures. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

6. Select a sample of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures from the Eligible Jurisdiction's general ledger expenditure detail. Describe the percentage of total expenditures selected for inspection. For each item selected perform the following:
 - a. Agree the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to supporting documentation, which may include a check copy or wire transfer, vendor invoice, payroll registers and timecards, journal vouchers or other appropriate supporting documentation; and
 - b. Determine whether the expenditures selected in (a) above are exclusively for Senior Mobility Program and meets requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We selected 25 Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for inspection totaling \$45,788 representing approximately 42% of total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We agreed the dollar amount to supporting documentation and determined that the expenditures selected were used exclusively for the Senior Mobility Program and met the requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/ Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

7. Inquire as to the procedures used by the Eligible Jurisdictions to ensure that services are provided only to eligible participants in accordance with the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We inquired with management as to the procedures used to ensure services are provided only to eligible participants. Any person who wants to join the Senior Transportation Program must fill out an application and provide a form of state ID. The City then verifies that the applicant is a resident of the City of Buena Park and are 60 years of age or older in accordance with the Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. The City also maintains a copy of each application and the forms of verification on file. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

8. Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Based on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 3, line 1), the City reported \$0 in administrative costs. Per discussion with the City's accounting personnel and inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail, no administrative costs were identified as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

9. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction contracts with a third-party service provider to provide senior transportation service, and perform the following:
 - a. Determine whether Contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process.
 - b. Inspect the contract agreement to ensure that wheelchair accessible vehicles are available and used as needed.

Findings: Based on our inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail and through discussion with City personnel, the City did not contract with a third-party service provider for senior transportation services. No exceptions were noted as a result of our procedures.

10. Obtain the proof of insurance coverage for the Eligible Jurisdiction's Contractor and inspect the insurance coverage to ensure the terms satisfy the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the insurance coverage for the contractor, and determined that the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement were met. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

11. Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates:

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	September 13, 2023	-
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 4, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	May 6, 2024	6
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 27, 2024	-

Through inspection, we determined that one out of the four reports was not submitted within 30 days of month end to OCLTA. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

We were engaged by OCLTA to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the accounting records, any indirect cost allocation plans and compliance with the provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the City's management and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

At the request of OCLTA, the City's responses to certain findings are included in Exhibit 1. The responses are included for the purpose of additional information and were not subjected to the procedures described above. Accordingly, we did not perform any procedures on the City's responses and express no assurance or opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified party.



Crowe LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 24, 2025

CITY OF BUENA PARK, CALIFORNIA
SCHEDULE OF MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES
Year ended June 30, 2024
(Unaudited)

SCHEDULE A

Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures:

Indirect and/ or Overhead - Schedule 3, line 1

\$ -

Other Senior Mobility Project U

109,785

Total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures

\$ 109,785

Note: The above amounts were taken directly from the financial records of the City of Buena Park and were not audited.



Exhibit 1

April 14, 2025

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

The following response is being submitted to address results from the agreed upon procedures performed for the Measure M2 Senior Mobility program for the City of Buena Park as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Procedure # 11

Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.


Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates:

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	September 13, 2023	-
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 4, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	May 6, 2024	6
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 27, 2024	-

Through inspection, we determined that one out of the four reports were not submitted within 30 days of month end to OCLTA. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

City's Response:

The City acknowledges the finding and has implemented procedures to ensure timely submissions moving forward.

DocuSigned by:

A60CA453C3B349B...
Aaron France, City Manager

Signed by:

70053526E6CC467...
Sung Hyun, Director of Finance

DocuSigned by:

23B661DCED2C427...
James Box, Director of Community Services

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
CITY OF LAGUNA NIGUEL

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) (the specified party), related to the City of Laguna Niguel's (City) compliance with certain provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance (Ordinance) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the Ordinance and for its cash, revenue and expenditure records.

The Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the OCLTA has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the City's compliance with certain provisions of the Ordinance as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We make no representation regarding the appropriateness of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves performing specific procedures that the engaging party has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed.

The procedures and associated findings were as follows:

1. Obtain and read the Cooperative Agreement for the Senior Mobility Program between OCLTA and the Eligible Jurisdiction and determine that the agreement was properly approved and executed.

Findings: No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

2. Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, department code, and object code. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its Senior Transportation Fund (253) using a 2-digit object code, and 4-digit account code. The City reported \$77,730 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report

(Continued)

(Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U), which agreed to the M2 funded portion of total expenditures, excluding the match funds. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

3. Obtain a listing of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program payments made from OCLTA to the Eligible Jurisdiction and calculate the amount the Eligible Jurisdiction received for the past three fiscal years. Obtain the fund balance of the Eligible Jurisdiction's Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program as of June 30, 2024, agree to the balance as listed on the Eligible Jurisdictions' Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) and determine whether funds were expended within three years of receipt or within five years, if an extension was granted. For payments received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, agree to amount listed as received on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City received \$314,823 for the past three years fiscal years ended June 30, 2022, 2023, and 2024. We compared the fund balance of \$476,799 from the general ledger detail to the fund balance reported in the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) of \$476,799; no difference was identified. We determined funds were expended within three years of receipt. We agreed payments received from OCLTA totaling \$107,401 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, to the general ledger detail and to the amount listed as received on the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U) without exception. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

4. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction's interest allocation and fare collection methodologies are adequate to ensure the proper amount of interest/program revenue was credited to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Fund. Agree the amount reflected to the amount of interest listed on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 – Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the City's interest allocation methodology. We identified interest income of \$18,750, which was calculated by taking the monthly unspent cash balance and dividing it by the total adjusted monthly cash balance for all funds. This percentage of allocation is then multiplied by the total amount of interest to be allocated for all funds leaving the final interest allocated to the Senior Mobility Program. The City reported \$18,750 of interest income for the year ended June 30, 2024, which agreed to the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Additionally, we inquired of City personnel and inspected the City's general ledger detail regarding fare collection methodologies. Eligible participants of the Senior Mobility Program must purchase travel vouchers from the City prior to their trip. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

5. Determine that the Eligible Jurisdiction satisfied the requirement of twenty percent (20%) matching of the total annual formula allocation (i.e., accrual-basis funding allocation) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Findings: We received the City's general ledger detail of matching expenditures, scanned for the types and sources of matching and agreed to supporting documentation, such as invoices, to determine whether the match amounts were justifiable and acceptable under the Ordinance and Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines. The total match expenditures amounted to \$20,215 which was approximately 21% of the total expenditures of \$97,945 (M2 funded portion of \$77,730 and City's matching portion of \$20,215) which agreed to the City's general ledger detail of the M2 total expenditures. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

6. Select a sample of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures from the Eligible Jurisdiction's general ledger expenditure detail. Describe the percentage of total expenditures selected for inspection. For each item selected perform the following:

- a. Agree the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to supporting documentation, which may include a check copy or wire transfer, vendor invoice, payroll registers and timecards, journal vouchers or other appropriate supporting documentation; and
- b. Determine whether the expenditures selected in (a) above are exclusively for Senior Mobility Program and meets requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We selected 14 Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for inspection totaling \$59,438 representing approximately 76% of total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We agreed the dollar amount to supporting documentation and determined that the expenditures selected were used exclusively for the Senior Mobility Program and met the requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/ Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

7. Inquire as to the procedures used by the Eligible Jurisdictions to ensure that services are provided only to eligible participants in accordance with the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We inquired with management as to the procedures used to ensure services are provided only to eligible participants. Any person who wants to join the Senior Transportation Program must fill out an application. The City then verifies that the applicant is a resident of the City of Laguna Niguel and is 60 years of age or older in accordance with the Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. The City provides a unique SMP ID for each approved participant to access the SMP services. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

8. Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Per discussion with the City's accounting personnel and inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail, administrative costs totaling \$7,066, or 9% of the City's total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures, were identified as Measure for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

9. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction contracts with a third-party service provider to provide senior transportation service, and perform the following:
 - a. Determine whether Contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process.
 - b. Inspect the contract agreement to ensure that wheelchair accessible vehicles are available and used as needed.

Findings: Based on inquiry of City personnel, the City contracted with Cabco Yellow Inc., dba California Yellow Cab to provide senior transportation services under the Senior Mobility Program. From inspecting the Cabco Yellow Inc. procurement document, we found that the contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process. In addition, per inspection of the original contract, we found the language requiring that wheelchair accessible vehicles be made available and used as needed was included, as required. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

10. Obtain the proof of insurance coverage for the Eligible Jurisdiction's Contractor and inspect the insurance coverage to ensure the terms satisfy the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the insurance coverage for the contractor and determined that the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement were met. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

11. Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Through inspection, we determined all four reports were timely submitted within 30 days of the following month end. OCLTA staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	September 20, 2023	-
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 26, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	April 10, 2024	-
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 28, 2024	-

We were engaged by OCLTA to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the accounting records, any indirect cost allocation plans and compliance with the provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the City's management and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified party.


Crowe LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 24, 2025

CITY OF LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA
SCHEDULE OF MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES
Year ended June 30, 2024
(Unaudited)

SCHEDULE A

Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures:

Indirect and/ or Overhead - Schedule 3, line 1

\$ -

Other Senior Mobility Project U

77,730

Total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures

\$ 77,730

Note: The above amounts were taken directly from the financial records of the City of Laguna Niguel and were not audited.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) (the specified party), related to the City of Laguna Woods' (City) compliance with certain provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance (Ordinance) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the Ordinance and for its cash, revenue and expenditure records.

The Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the OCLTA has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the City's compliance with certain provisions of the Ordinance as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We make no representation regarding the appropriateness of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves performing specific procedures that the engaging party has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed.

The procedures and associated findings were as follows:

1. Obtain and read the Cooperative Agreement for the Senior Mobility Program between OCLTA and the Eligible Jurisdiction and determine that the agreement was properly approved and executed.

Findings: No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

2. Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

(Continued)

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, department, unit, and object code. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its Senior Mobility Fund (410) and is identified by a 4-digit department, unit, and object code. The City reported \$377,600 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U) for fiscal year 2024. However, after further inspection of the balances, we noted that the amount reported included the M2 funded portion of \$258,330 and the City's matching portion of \$119,270. The City should have only reported the M2 funded portion. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

3. Obtain a listing of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program payments made from OCLTA to the Eligible Jurisdiction and calculate the amount the Eligible Jurisdiction received for the past three fiscal years. Obtain the fund balance of the Eligible Jurisdiction's Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program as of June 30, 2024, agree to the balance as listed on the Eligible Jurisdictions' Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) and determine whether funds were expended within three years of receipt or within five years, if an extension was granted. For payments received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, agree to amount listed as received on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City received \$365,848 for the past three years fiscal years ended June 30, 2022, 2023, and 2024. We compared the fund balance from the general ledger detail to the fund balance reported in the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) of (\$20,688). The deficit was due to the City including the City's matching portion when reporting the expenditures in the Expenditure Report. Refer to Procedure #2 for the reporting finding. We determined funds were expended within three years of receipt. We agreed payments received from OCLTA totaling \$124,808 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, to the general ledger detail and to the amount listed as received on the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U) without exception. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

4. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction's interest allocation and fare collection methodologies are adequate to ensure the proper amount of interest/program revenue was credited to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Fund. Agree the amount reflected to the amount of interest listed on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 – Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the City's interest allocation methodology. We identified interest income of \$13,680, which is calculated by allocating quarterly interest earned to the SMP fund based on the total percentage of cash held in the SMP fund as compared to the total City pool. The City reported \$13,680 of interest income for the year ending June 30, 2024, which agreed to the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Additionally, we inquired of City personnel and inspected the City's general ledger detail regarding fare collection methodologies. Eligible participants of the Senior Mobility Program must purchase travel vouchers from the City prior to their trip. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

5. Determine that the Eligible Jurisdiction satisfied the requirement of twenty percent (20%) matching of the total annual formula allocation (i.e., accrual-basis funding allocation) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Findings: We received the City's general ledger detail of matching expenditures, scanned for the types and sources of matching and agreed to supporting documentation, such as invoices, to determine whether the match amounts were justifiable and acceptable under the Ordinance and Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines. The total match expenditures amounted to \$119,270 which was approximately 32% of the total expenditures of \$377,600 (M2 funded portion of \$258,330 and City's matching portion of \$119,270) which agreed to the City's general ledger detail of the M2 total expenditures. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

6. Select a sample of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures from the Eligible Jurisdiction's general ledger expenditure detail. Describe the percentage of total expenditures selected for inspection. For each item selected perform the following:

(Continued)

- a. Agree the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to supporting documentation, which may include a check copy or wire transfer, vendor invoice, payroll registers and timecards, journal vouchers or other appropriate supporting documentation; and
- b. Determine whether the expenditures selected in (a) above are exclusively for Senior Mobility Program and meets requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We selected 13 Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for inspection totaling \$258,330 representing 100% of total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We agreed the dollar amount to supporting documentation and determined that the expenditures selected were used exclusively for the Senior Mobility Program and met the requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/ Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

7. Inquire as to the procedures used by the Eligible Jurisdictions to ensure that services are provided only to eligible participants in accordance with the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We inquired with management as to the procedures used to ensure services are provided only to eligible participants. Any person who wants to join the Senior Transportation Program must fill out an application and provide a form of state ID. The City then verifies that the applicant is a resident of the City of Laguna Woods and are 60 years of age or older in accordance with the Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. The City also maintains a copy of each application and the forms of verification on file. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

8. Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Based on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 3, line 1), the City reported \$0 in administrative costs. Per discussion with the City's accounting personnel and inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail, no administrative costs were identified as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

9. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction contracts with a third-party service provider to provide senior transportation service, and perform the following:
 - a. Determine whether Contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process.
 - b. Inspect the contract agreement to ensure that wheelchair accessible vehicles are available and used as needed.

Findings: Based on inquiry of City personnel, the City contracted with Cabco Yellow Inc., dba California Yellow Cab to provide senior transportation services under the Senior Mobility Program. From inspecting the Cabco Yellow Inc. procurement document, we found that the contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process. In addition, per inspection of the original contract, we found the language requiring that wheelchair accessible vehicles be made available and used as needed was included, as required. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

10. Obtain the proof of insurance coverage for the Eligible Jurisdiction's Contractor and inspect the insurance coverage to ensure the terms satisfy the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the insurance coverage for the contractor, and determined that the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement were met. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

11. Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Through inspection, we determined all four reports were timely submitted within 30 days of the following month end. OCLTA staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	September 30, 2023	-
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 30, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	April 29, 2024	-
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 28, 2024	-

We were engaged by OCLTA to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the accounting records, any indirect cost allocation plans and compliance with the provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the City's management and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

At the request of OCLTA, the City's responses to certain findings are included in Exhibit 1. The responses are included for the purpose of additional information and were not subjected to the procedures described above. Accordingly, we did not perform any procedures on the City's responses and express no assurance or opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified party.



Crowe LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 27, 2025

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS, CALIFORNIA
SCHEDULE OF MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES
Year ended June 30, 2024
(Unaudited)

SCHEDULE A

Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures:

Indirect and/ or Overhead - Schedule 3, line 1

\$ -

Other Senior Mobility Project U

258,330

Total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures

\$ 258,330

Note: The above amounts were taken directly from the financial records of the City of Laguna Woods and were not audited.



City of Laguna Woods

24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, CA 92637
Phone (949) 639-0500
TTY (949) 639-0535
Fax (949) 639-0591
www.cityoflagunawoods.org

March 27, 2025

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

The following response is being submitted to address results from the agreed upon procedures performed for the Measure M2 Senior Mobility program for the City of Laguna Woods as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Procedure #2

Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, department, unit, and object code. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its Senior Mobility Fund (410) and is identified by a 4-digit department, unit, and object code. The City reported \$377,600 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U) for fiscal year 2024. However, after further inspection of the balances, we noted that the amount reported included the M2 funded portion and the City's matching portion. The actual SMP expenditures per the general ledger detail was \$377,600 (M2 funded portion of \$258,330 and the City's matching portion of \$119,270). No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

City's Response: Management acknowledges the finding. The City will report only the M2 funding portion (net of the City's matching portion) on the next Expenditure Report for fiscal year 2025. The City will also make corrections to the beginning fund balance on the Expenditure Report for fiscal year 2025 by excluding the City's matching portion of \$119,270.

Christopher Macon
City Manager

Elizabeth Torres (Mar 27, 2025 19:00 PDT)

Elizabeth Torres
Administrative Services Director/City Treasurer

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
CITY OF MISSION VIEJO

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) (the specified party), related to the City of Mission Viejo's (City) compliance with certain provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance (Ordinance) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the Ordinance and for its cash, revenue and expenditure records.

The Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the OCLTA has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the City's compliance with certain provisions of the Ordinance as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We make no representation regarding the appropriateness of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves performing specific procedures that the engaging party has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed.

The procedures and associated findings were as follows:

1. Obtain and read the Cooperative Agreement for the Senior Mobility Program between OCLTA and the Eligible Jurisdiction and determine that the agreement was properly approved and executed.

Findings: No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

2. Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, program, and account codes. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its Senior Mobility Grant Fund (278), program code, and account code. The City reported \$196,252 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U), which agreed to the M2 funded portion of total expenditures, excluding the match funds. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

(Continued)

3. Obtain a listing of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program payments made from OCLTA to the Eligible Jurisdiction and calculate the amount the Eligible Jurisdiction received for the past three fiscal years. Obtain the fund balance of the Eligible Jurisdiction's Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program as of June 30, 2024, agree to the balance as listed on the Eligible Jurisdictions' Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) and determine whether funds were expended within three years of receipt or within five years, if an extension was granted. For payments received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, agree to amount listed as received on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City received \$475,022 for the past three years fiscal years ended June 30, 2022, 2023, and 2024. We compared the fund balance of \$600,246 from the general ledger detail to the fund balance reported in the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) of \$600,246; no difference was identified. We obtained Board reports for the extensions of SMP funds received in fiscal years 2021 and 2022 to five years. With these extensions, the City is compliant with timely use of funds requirements. We agreed payments received from OCLTA totaling \$149,820 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, to the general ledger detail and to the amount listed as received on the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U) without exception. No exceptions were identified as a result of this procedure.

4. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction's interest allocation and fare collection methodologies are adequate to ensure the proper amount of interest/program revenue was credited to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Fund. Agree the amount reflected to the amount of interest listed on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 – Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the City's interest allocation methodology. We identified interest income of \$16,704, which is calculated by taking the average daily cash balance of the fund and applying the percentage allocation interest rates relative to total cash pool. The City reported \$16,704 of interest income for the year ended June 30, 2024, which agreed to the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Additionally, we inquired with City personnel and inspected the City's general ledger detail regarding fare collection methodologies. The City did not charge fares for senior transportation services to City facilities. However, they charged \$20 for trips to/from John Wayne Airport and \$5 for all other one-way trips. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

5. Determine that the Eligible Jurisdiction satisfied the requirement of twenty percent (20%) matching of the total annual formula allocation (i.e., accrual-basis funding allocation) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Findings: We received the City's general ledger detail of matching expenditures, scanned for the types and sources of matching and agreed to supporting documentation, such as invoices, to determine whether the match amounts were justifiable and acceptable under the Ordinance and Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines. The total match expenditures amounted to \$50,070 which was approximately 20% of the total expenditures of \$246,322 (M2 funded portion of \$196,252 and City's matching portion of \$50,070) which agreed to the City's general ledger detail of the M2 total expenditures. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

6. Select a sample of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures from the Eligible Jurisdiction's general ledger expenditure detail. Describe the percentage of total expenditures selected for inspection. For each item selected perform the following:
 - a. Agree the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to supporting documentation, which may include a check copy or wire transfer, vendor invoice, payroll registers and timecards, journal vouchers or other appropriate supporting documentation; and
 - b. Determine whether the expenditures selected in (a) above are exclusively for Senior Mobility Program and meets requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

(Continued)

Findings: We selected 12 Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for inspection totaling \$150,001 representing approximately 76% of total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We agreed the dollar amount to supporting documentation and determined that the expenditures selected were used exclusively for the Senior Mobility Program and met the requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/ Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

7. Inquire as to the procedures used by the Eligible Jurisdictions to ensure that services are provided only to eligible participants in accordance with the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We inquired with management as to the procedures used to ensure services are provided only to eligible participants. Any person who wants to join the Senior Transportation Program must fill out an application and provide a form of state ID. The City then verifies that the applicant is a resident of the City of Mission Viejo and are 60 years of age or older in accordance with the Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. The City also maintains a copy of each application and the forms of verification on file. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

8. Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Based on the Measure M2 Project U Senior Mobility Program Funding and Policy Guidelines, administrative cost up to 10 percent are allowed and considered eligible program expenses. However, through inspection of the City's general ledger expenditure detail, the City charged a total of \$22,114 or approximately 11% of the total expenditure population, in indirect and administrative overhead costs to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program. Therefore, the City exceeded the 10% threshold allowed by the Guidelines.

9. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction contracts with a third-party service provider to provide senior transportation service, and perform the following:
 - a. Determine whether Contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process.
 - b. Inspect the contract agreement to ensure that wheelchair accessible vehicles are available and used as needed.

Findings: Based on inquiries with City personnel, the City contracted with CABCO Yellow, Inc., and Age Well Senior Services, Inc., to provide senior transportation services under the Senior Mobility Program. From inspection of the procurement supporting documentation, we found that both service providers were selected using a competitive procurement process. In addition, per inspection of the contracts, we found that both included language requiring that wheelchair accessible vehicles be made available and used as needed. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

10. Obtain the proof of insurance coverage for the Eligible Jurisdiction's Contractor and inspect the insurance coverage to ensure the terms satisfy the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the insurance coverage for the contractor and determined that the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement were met. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

11. Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates:

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	November 3, 2023	34
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 29, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	April 29, 2024	-
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 24, 2024	-

Through inspection, we determined that one out of the four reports were not submitted within 30 days of month end to OCLTA. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

We were engaged by OCLTA to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the accounting records, any indirect cost allocation plans and compliance with the provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the City's management and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

At the request of OCLTA, the City's responses to certain findings are included in Exhibit 1. The responses are included for the purpose of additional information and were not subjected to the procedures described above. Accordingly, we did not perform any procedures on the City's responses and express no assurance or opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified party.


Crowe LLP

Costa Mesa, California
April 8, 2025

CITY OF MISSION VIEJO, CALIFORNIA
SCHEDULE OF MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES
Year ended June 30, 2024
(Unaudited)

SCHEDULE A

Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures:

Indirect and/ or Overhead - Schedule 3, line 1

\$ -

Other Senior Mobility Project U

196,252

Total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures

\$ 196,252

Note: The above amounts were taken directly from the financial records of the City of Mission Viejo and were not audited.



City of Mission Viejo

Administrative Services Department

Bob Ruesch

Mayor

Wendy Bucknum

Mayor Pro Tem

Brian Goodell

Council Member

Trish Kelley

Council Member

Cynthia Vasquez

Council Member

April 8, 2025

Board of Directors

Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

The following response is being submitted to address results from the agreed upon procedures performed for the Measure M2 Senior Mobility program for the City of Mission Viejo as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Procedure #8

Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Per discussion with the City's accounting personnel and through inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail, administrative costs totaling \$22,114, or approximately 11% of the City's total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures, were identified for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We found that the administrative costs exceeded the 10 percent threshold dictated in the Measure M2 SMP Guidelines. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

City's Response:

Exception noted. The number reported in the Measure M2 Report was understated because agency contributions were included as contribution credits. The City initially reported \$196,252, the correct amount should have been \$221,140. To address this issue the City has changed the way the CABCO invoices are processed. CABCO invoices will be processed using the full invoice amount, excluding contribution credits.

Procedure #11

Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.



City of Mission Viejo

Administrative Services Department

Bob Ruesch
Mayor

Wendy Bucknum
Mayor Pro Tem

Brian Goodell
Council Member

Trish Kelley
Council Member

Cynthia Vasquez
Council Member

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates:

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	November 3, 2023	34
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 29, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	April 29, 2024	-
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 24, 2024	-


Through inspection, we determined that one out of the four reports were not submitted within 30 days of month end to OCLTA. No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.


City’s Response:

Exception noted. City staff have been notified that monthly reports need to be submitted within 30 days of month end.

DocuSigned by:

5506090EC4954C1...
4/8/2025
Elaine Lister, City Manager

Signed by:

BD68AB4C60DC4E3...
4/8/2025
Ellis Chang, Director of Administrative Services

DocuSigned by:

AA054C1C2BDF4A3...
4/8/2025
Mark Nix, Director of Recreation & Community Services

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
CITY OF SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the Orange County Local Transportation Authority (OCLTA) (the specified party), related to the City of San Juan Capistrano's (City) compliance with certain provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance (Ordinance) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the Ordinance and for its cash, revenue and expenditure records.

The Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the OCLTA has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the City's compliance with certain provisions of the Ordinance as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We make no representation regarding the appropriateness of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves performing specific procedures that the engaging party has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed.

The procedures and associated findings were as follows:

1. Obtain and read the Cooperative Agreement for the Senior Mobility Program between OCLTA and the Eligible Jurisdiction and determine that the agreement was properly approved and executed.

Findings: No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

2. Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, department, and account number. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its General Fund (001), department code (73000), a 5-digit account number, and a cost center code specific to the Senior Mobility Program (0632). The City reported \$98,726 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U) for fiscal year

(Continued)

2024. However, after further inspection, we noted that this amount included the M2 funded portion and the City's portion. The actual total SMP expenditures per the general ledger detail was \$98,700 (M2 funded portion of \$78,981 and the City's matching portion of \$19,745). No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

3. Obtain a listing of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program payments made from OCLTA to the Eligible Jurisdiction and calculate the amount the Eligible Jurisdiction received for the past three fiscal years. Obtain the fund balance of the Eligible Jurisdiction's Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program as of June 30, 2024, agree to the balance as listed on the Eligible Jurisdictions' Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) and determine whether funds were expended within three years of receipt or within five years, if an extension was granted. For payments received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, agree to amount listed as received on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City received \$196,139 for the past three years fiscal years ended June 30, 2022, 2023, and 2024. We compared the fund balance of \$79,210 from the general ledger detail to the fund balance reported in the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 1, line 24) of \$79,210; no difference was identified. We determined funds were expended within three years of receipt. We agreed payments received from OCLTA totaling \$66,912 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, to the general ledger detail and to the amount listed as received on the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U) without exception. No exceptions were identified as a result of this procedure.

4. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction's interest allocation and fare collection methodologies are adequate to ensure the proper amount of interest/program revenue was credited to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Fund. Agree the amount reflected to the amount of interest listed on the Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 – Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the City's interest allocation methodology. We identified interest income of \$5,841 which is calculated by taking the fund's average quarterly balance and applying the proportionate rate of the SMP to the total fund against the total interest revenue. The City reported \$5,841 of interest income for the year ended June 30, 2024, which agreed to the City's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 8 for Project U). Additionally, we inquired of City personnel and inspected the City's general ledger detail regarding fare collection methodologies. The City did not charge fares for senior transportation services during the year. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

5. Determine that the Eligible Jurisdiction satisfied the requirement of twenty percent (20%) matching of the total annual formula allocation (i.e., accrual-basis funding allocation) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

Findings: We received the City's general ledger detail of matching expenditures, scanned for the types and sources of matching and agreed to supporting documentation, such as invoices, to determine whether the match amounts were justifiable and acceptable under the Ordinance and Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines. The total match expenditures amounted to \$19,745 which was approximately 20% of the total expenditures of \$98,726 (M2 funded portion of \$78,981 and City's matching portion of \$19,745) which agreed to the City's general ledger detail of the M2 total expenditures. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

6. Select a sample of Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures from the Eligible Jurisdiction's general ledger expenditure detail. Describe the percentage of total expenditures selected for inspection. For each item selected perform the following:
 - a. Agree the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to supporting documentation, which may include a check copy or wire transfer, vendor invoice, payroll registers and timecards, journal vouchers or other appropriate supporting documentation; and

- b. Determine whether the expenditures selected in (a) above are exclusively for Senior Mobility Program and meets requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We selected 22 Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for inspection totaling \$74,955 representing approximately 95% of total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. We agreed the dollar amount to supporting documentation and determined that the expenditures selected were used exclusively for the Senior Mobility Program and met the requirements outlined in the Measure M2 Project U Senior/ Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

7. Inquire as to the procedures used by the Eligible Jurisdictions to ensure that services are provided only to eligible participants in accordance with the Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the cooperative agreement.

Findings: We inquired with management as to the procedures used to ensure services are provided only to eligible participants. Any person who wants to join the Senior Transportation Program must fill out an application and provide a form of state ID. The City then verifies that the applicant is a resident of the City of San Juan Capistrano and are 60 years of age or older in accordance with the Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines and the Cooperative Agreement. The City also maintains a copy of each application and the forms of verification on file. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

8. Identify whether administrative costs were charged as Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures. If applicable, confirm that administrative costs do not exceed 10 percent, as dictated in Measure M2 Project U Senior/Disabled Program Funding Policy Guidelines.

Findings: Per discussion with the City's accounting personnel and inspection of the general ledger expenditure detail, administrative costs totaling \$8,975, or approximately 9% of the City's total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program expenditures, were identified for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

9. Determine if the Eligible Jurisdiction contracts with a third-party service provider to provide senior transportation service, and perform the following:

- a. Determine whether Contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process.
 - b. Inspect the contract agreement to ensure that wheelchair accessible vehicles are available and used as needed.

Findings: Based on inquiry of City personnel, the City contracted with Age Well Senior Services, Inc. to provide senior transportation services under the Senior Mobility Program. From inspecting the Age Well Senior Service, Inc. procurement document, we found that the contractor was selected using a competitive procurement process. In addition, per inspection of the original contract, we found the language requiring that wheelchair accessible vehicles be made available and used as needed was included, as required. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

10. Obtain the proof of insurance coverage for the Eligible Jurisdiction's Contractor and inspect the insurance coverage to ensure the terms satisfy the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement.

Findings: We obtained and inspected the insurance coverage for the contractor, and determined that the requirements established in the Cooperative Agreement were met. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

11. Obtain and sample four monthly summary operations reports and determine whether the reports were properly prepared and submitted within 30 days after the end of the service month.

Findings: We sampled four monthly summary reports (August 2023, December 2023, March 2024, and May 2024). Through inspection, we determined all four reports were timely submitted within 30 days of the following month end. OCLTA staff confirmed that reports were received on the following dates. No exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

<u>Reporting Month</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Days Late</u>
August 2023	September 30, 2023	September 20, 2023	-
December 2023	January 31, 2024	January 29, 2024	-
March 2024	April 30, 2024	April 24, 2024	-
May 2024	June 30, 2024	June 20, 2024	-

We were engaged by OCLTA to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the accounting records, any indirect cost allocation plans and compliance with the provisions of the Measure M2 Local Transportation Ordinance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the City's management and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

At the request of OCLTA, the City's responses to certain findings are included in Exhibit 1. The responses are included for the purpose of additional information and were not subjected to the procedures described above. Accordingly, we did not perform any procedures on the City's responses and express no assurance or opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified party.



Crowe LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 25, 2025

CITY OF SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CALIFORNIA
SCHEDULE OF MEASURE M2 SENIOR MOBILITY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES
Year ended June 30, 2024
(Unaudited)

SCHEDULE A

Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures:

Indirect and/ or Overhead - Schedule 3, line 1

\$ -

Other Senior Mobility Project U

78,981

Total Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Expenditures

\$ 78,981

Note: The above amounts were taken directly from the financial records of the City of San Juan Capistrano and were not audited.

32400 PASEO ADELANTO
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 92675
(949) 493-1171
(949) 493-1053 FAX
www.sanjuancapistrano.org



MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL

TROY BOURNE
JOHN CAMPBELL
SERGIO FARIAS
HOWARD HART
JOHN TAYLOR

March 25, 2025

Board of Directors
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
and the Taxpayers Oversight Committee of the
Orange County Local Transportation Authority
Orange, California

The following response is being submitted to address results from the agreed upon procedures performed for the Measure M2 Senior Mobility program for the City of San Juan Capistrano as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.


Procedure #2

Describe which fund(s) the Eligible Jurisdiction used to track expenditures relating to Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program monies in its general ledger and the amount spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. Agree to amount listed as expended on Eligible Jurisdiction's Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U). Explain any differences.

Findings: The City's expenditures related to the Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program were tracked in the general ledger by fund, department, and account number. The City recorded its Senior Mobility Program expenditures in its General Fund (001), department code (73000), a 5-digit account number, and a cost center code specific to the Senior Mobility Program (0632). The City reported \$98,726 in program expenditures on the Expenditure Report (Schedule 2, line 21 for Project U) for fiscal year 2024. However, after further inspection, we noted that this amount included the M2 funded portion and the City's portion. The actual total SMP expenditures per the general ledger detail was \$98,700 (M2 funded portion of \$78,981 and the City's matching portion of \$19,745). No other exceptions were found as a result of this procedure.

City's Response:

The City concurs with the auditor's finding.


Benjamin Siegel, City Manager


Ken Al-Imam, Chief Financial Officer