

SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION GUIDE

Table of Contents

Title Page1
Table of Contents (Checklist)
Section 1: Key Technical Information
Section 2: Regional Significance
Section 3: Acknowledgement of Required Tasks
Section 4: Funding Needs / Costs for Proposed Project by Task7
Section 5: Detailed Local Match Commitment
Section 6: Project Schedule for the 3 Year Grant Period by Task
Appendix A: Calculations and Estimated Points9
Appendix B: Agency Improvement Calculations
Appendix B.1 - Table I: Agency Improvement Preferences10
Appendix B.2 - Table II: Description of Work by Intersection13
Appendix B.3 – Table III: Project Average Improvement Scores15
Appendix C: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)17
Appendix D: Agency Resolutions and Letters of Support
Appendix E: Additional Information17
Printing the Document17
OCFundtracker
Additional Help17

Attachment A: Sample Supplemental Application

Introduction and Purpose of Guide

The Supplemental Application, in Excel format, has been developed to encompass all components necessary for the Orange County Transportation Authority's (OCTA's) Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP) 2023 Call for Projects. This completed Supplemental Application must be submitted in hard copy and electronically, both in PDF and Excel format, as per the latest update to the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Program (CTFP) Guidelines. A partially filled Sample Supplemental Application is included at the end of this Guide.

The Supplemental Application will, as much as possible, identify what is automatically calculated versus what requires an input. For example, **green** shaded cells denote **INPUT** fields and **gray** shaded cells denote **AUTO-FILL** fields. <u>Note</u>: The color on your screen may vary due to screen resolution.

The following sections will detail the required updates to each tab in the Excel file to complete the Supplemental Application.

If you have additional questions or need assistance, please email <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.

Title Page

The cells that will require an input are as follows:

- Enter the *Date of Submittal* in Row 13
- Select the appropriate *Type of Submittal* from the drop-down in Row 14.
 - a. Application Deadline = First submittal
 - b. Revised Submittal = All versions after the initial submittal




Figure 1. Use drop-down menu to select type of submittal.

- Using the drop-down menu, select the *Participating Agencies* starting with **Row 27, Column I**.
 - a. Use one line per agency as shown in the Sample Supplemental Application.
 - b. Only select Caltrans as a participating agency if <u>all agencies that have Caltrans</u> <u>intersections are signing a cooperative agreement w/Caltrans</u>.

Proj	ect Ov	erview	
Length of Corridor (mi):	0.0		
Number of signals:	0		
Total Project Cost:	\$0.00		
M2 funds requested:			
Total Match:	\$0.00		
Cash Match:	\$0.0	00	
In-kind Match:	\$0.0	00	
Participating Agencies:			-
		Select an Agen Aliso Viejo Anaheim Brea Buena Park Caltrans Costa Mesa County of Orar	

Figure 2. Use drop-down menu to select all participating agencies.

- Using the drop-down menu, select the Applicant Agency in Cell F38
 - a. If the County of Orange is the Applicant Agency, use the drop-down menu in **Cell E38** to select the blank option to remove "City of".
 - i. An alternative way is to select **Cell E38** and hit the delete key.

Applicant Ag	gency:	City of -] ;	Select an A	\genc	у
		City of				
Contact	Name:					
Contact Nu	mber:					
Contact	Email:					

Figure 3. Use this method to remove "City of" if the County is the applicant agency

- Enter the *Contact Name* for the *Applicant Agency* in Row 38
- Enter the *Contact Number* for the *Applicant Agency* in **Row 40**
- Enter the *Contact Email* for the *Applicant Agency* in Row 42

For the cells that will automatically populate, such as the name of the corridor and the funding request, it is recommended that these values be checked after all tabs are populated.

Table of Contents (Checklist)

Populate the corresponding page numbers in **Column B** after the rest of the application is filled out. Make sure to avoid any page number overlaps.

Section 1: Key Technical Information

This section will be completed in three different tabs (Section 1.a-j, Section 1.k-l, and Section 1.m).

Part a: Type and Name of Project

- Use the drop-down menu to select the type of project in **Row 3**. The following are the eligible types of projects per the CTFP Guidelines:
 - **Corridor**: shall be a single, multijurisdictional eligible corridor that includes at least 20 intersections; at least five (5) miles in length; at least three (3) eligible local agencies and four (4) signals per mile; or the full length of the corridor
 - **Grid**: shall be multijurisdictional with a minimum of two (2) local, eligible agencies and consist of one main corridor that is specifically identified with a maximum of two (2) crossing corridors with no more than fifty (50) intersections
 - **Route**: must be supported with simulation or actual vehicle counts showing Origin-Destination that proposes linked corridors to form a route with a maximum total of three corridors and the total number of intersections between these corridors are limited to fifty (50)
- Enter the Project Name, which is the Name of the Corridor/Grid/Route in **Row 4**. Be sure to include all names for a corridor that does not carry the same name from end to end.

Part b: Project Limits

Enter the project limits in **Row 7**, starting with the Northern/Western limit to the Southern/Eastern limit. If it is a grid project, please enter the limits of the main corridor. The full extent of the grid should be explained in *Section 2*. If it's a route, enter the starting and ending intersections.

Part c: Project Length

Enter the project length in miles to the nearest hundredth place. Include all route and grid corridors.

Part d: Number of Signalized Intersections

Enter the number of signalized intersections along the corridor/grid/route that will be synchronized as part of this project in **Cell B13**.

- The count shall include all Caltrans intersections, regardless of an executed cooperative agreement.
- This shall NOT include any offset signals that will be included with the project for synchronization. The number of offset signals should be entered in **Cell H13**.

Part e: Participating Agencies

Using the checkboxes, select all the participating agencies that will be a part of this project, including the applicant agency.

Caltrans shall only be selected if all Participating Agencies that have Caltrans signals in their jurisdiction pledge to sign a Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans. The pledge shall be included with the letters of support from all participating agencies. The applicant agency will pledge this in the application cover letter, if applicable.

All participating agencies, and Caltrans if included, will be required to provide a letter of support in *Appendix D* and an approved resolution in *Appendix D*.

Part f: Lead Agency

Using the checkboxes, select the Lead Agency. The Lead Agency is responsible for delivering the project, collecting the required match, and filing the Final Report with OCTA Local Programing.

Choices include: OCTA and all eligible Orange County cities, and the County of Orange. Select only one option. Caltrans is not an eligible choice, though they may appear in the drop-down menu. **OCTA WILL NOT BE AN OPTION IN THE 2023 CALL FOR PROJECTS.**

If a local agency is chosen as the Lead Agency, please use the drop-down menu to select the agency. This dropdown menu pulls data from the list of Participating Agencies on the *Title Page* so you may see Caltrans listed. However, Caltrans <u>CANNOT</u> be a lead agency.

<u>Note:</u> An additional 10% of total project cost will be added to applications that designate OCTA as the lead agency. This is strictly used to calculate the benefit to cost ratio score. This additional 10% inflation will not be added to the proposed budget. **[OCTA WILL NOT BE LEADING PROJECTS FOR THE 2023 CALL FOR PROJECTS]**

Part g: Designation of the corridor to synchronize

The project must be either on the Signal Synchronization Network (SSN) or on the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) network. Technically, the entire SSN is on the MPAH, but only select MPAH if the corridor is not on the SSN. If neither is true, then this project does not qualify for Project P funding. If you are unsure which designation to choose, please contact <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.

Part h: Project Start and End Dates

This field will automatically populate using the information provided in Section 6.

Part i: Previous Project P Funding

In this section, you will select the choice that fits your application:

- □ Re-timing at least 75% of previous project
 - Check this box if your project will include at least 75% of a previously OCTA-funded project. If you are unsure, feel free to inquire by sending an email to TrafficOps@octa.net.
- □ Timing at least 75% of new eligible project
 - Check this box if your project will include at least 75% of a new eligible project. Eligible projects must at least be on the Signal Synchronization Network and meet minimum requirements per Page 8-18 of the latest CTFP Guidelines.
- None
 - Check this box if none of the above applies.

Part j: Contact Information

Enter the project contact for every participating agency. Each contact should include the agency, contact name, position title, phone number, email, and mailing address.

Part k (Tab Section 1.k-I): List of Signalized Intersections

Enter the name of the main corridor and cross street under the appropriate columns. Make sure to number the entries and denote the owning agency with color. Do not differentiate Caltrans intersections by color. Label Caltrans intersections with an asterisk (*) (See Sample Supplemental Application). Caltrans intersections will be counted towards the agency based on physical location.

k.	Signalized intersections that are part of the project:			
	Main Corridor	Cross Street		
	1 Main Corridor	Cross Street		

Figure 4. If it is a shared intersection, use both colors (one per cell).

Delete unused numbering or add more as needed.

Part I (Tab Section 1.k-I): List of Offset Signals

If offset signals, signalized intersections on the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) that are within 2,700 feet of the project corridor/grid/route, are going to be included in this project, enter the main street and cross street of each. Make sure to number the entries, continuing from **Part k**, and denoting the owning agency with the appropriate colors. Caltrans signals shall be in the color of the agency of its physical location and denoted with an asterisk (*).

Applicants are recommended to check this number with OCTA prior to submittal as changes will not be allowed after an application has been submitted.

Part m (Tab Section 1.m): Project Map Depicting the Project Limits

Please include a quality map that shows the limits of the project. **<u>DO NOT</u>** use satellite view as that is hard to read. A proper map should show all the project intersections, street names, and a legend to distinguish intersections by agency. Fit the map to one page, whether letter or tabloid and portrait or landscape.

Section 2: Regional Significance

In this section, you will explain why this project is regionally significant. Any justification for a route or grid project would also be included here. Please keep this section to one page. If this is a grid, introduce the main corridor (indicate limits) and include the crossing arterials and their corresponding limits.

Section 3: Acknowledgement of Required Tasks

In Part a, you will acknowledge on behalf of all participating agencies that, if funded, the lead agency will execute this project per the tasks and components as written in this section. If additional tasks or exceptions to the tasks are requested, please check the designated boxes and describe it in the spaces provided (areas shaded in green).

By checking this box, the following additional PI task(s) and/or exceptions will be made:

Figure 5. Use this section to include exceptions to the PI tasks listed in this section.

In Part b, you will acknowledge, on behalf of all participating agencies, to comply with environmental requirements and other permits as necessary.

In Part c, you will acknowledge, on behalf of all participating agencies, that this project will comply with the current CTFP Guidelines.

Section 4: Funding Needs / Costs for Proposed Project by Task

In this section, you will break down the costs per tasks by agency. Input fields are shaded in green.

Do not input anything in Part a [Summary of Project Cost], as this table will automatically populate with the updates to the individual agency tables below it.

In Part b [Summary of Cost by Agency]:

- Input Agency Name by selecting from the drop-down menu. Do not select Caltrans.
- Input number of signalized intersections that are owned and operated by the agency (exclude Caltrans intersections). If it is a shared intersection, please indicate the actual share to the nearest tenth. This number will be used to determine your share in match requirements.
- Input number of signalized intersections that are owned and operated by Caltrans within the Agency's jurisdiction.
- Input number of offset signals (signals on MPAH that are within 2,700' of corridor) within the Agency's jurisdiction that are included in this project for signal timing purposes.
- Input cost per intersection per task. Task 3 will not have a cost per intersection. Instead, the total cost will be pulled from Table II.
- Input the pledged cash and in-kind match.
- Repeat for every participating agency (excluding Caltrans).

<u>Note</u>: All fields in Task 3 will automatically populate based on information from *Appendix B*.

There is a mathematical check on the right to make sure the Measure M2 funding request does not exceed the max cap and that there is a minimum of 20% match per agency. Please verify all formulas as necessary to ensure that the calculations are accurate.

It is not required that all agencies use up the available budget per signal/mile caps, but each agency is required to contribute at least a 20% match towards their expenses. The overall project match must be at least 20%. Agencies with Caltrans signals shall also provide a match for timing, O&M, and any improvements proposed.

Part c [Funding Needs / Costs for Proposed Project by Task]: This section will be automatically populated based on information entered in *Appendix B*. Delete any unused rows to prevent calculation errors.

Section 5: Detailed Local Match Commitment

Please refer to Section 4 and Table II sheets when completing the tables in this section.

- Part 1 will automatically be filled. Fill in the required information shaded in green in Part 2.
- In Part 2A, the Agency will auto-populate based on **Section 1**. The applicant only needs to fill in the funding source for the cash match.
- If agencies intend to use specific improvements towards their required match, the information shall be entered in Part 2Bi. The specific improvement per agency must be entered to complete the table. Improvements proposed for in-kind match shall be an eligible improvement per the CTFP Guidelines. If no improvements will be contributed, then leave the table blank.
- In Part 2Bii, if in-kind match is provided, the applicant shall enter staff or consultant hours that will be contributed towards the project. The agency, staff position, type of service to project, number of hours, and fully burdened hourly rate shall be entered. Each agency will be responsible for keeping detailed records of hours worked and description of work. An accounting record of personnel, hours at fully burdened rate is expected to be included with the in-kind report submittals. Records are subject to auditing. Refer to the Sample Supplemental Application as needed.

<u>Note</u>: Additional rows shall be added as necessary to complete this section. It is also recommended that the applicant confirm the values shown to ensure consistency throughout the application.

Delete or hide blank rows as necessary for printing.

Section 6: Project Schedule for the 3 Year Grant Period by Task

In this section, fill in your projected dates in Part b. Dates shall be no sooner than fiscal year July 2023 – June 2024. Part a will be automatically populated based on the information in Part b.

If the project can be implemented within 12 months, it can qualify for additional points by checking the box under Part b. However, if checked, this will mean the project will not be allowed to request for delays or timely use of funds extensions so select with caution. If you cannot implement within 12 months, it is best that you do not check the box. Projects requesting OCTA to lead are ineligible for this option.

Part c refers to additional monitoring and maintenance that is outside of the grant funding. Any related expenses shall not be a part of the requested funding. By checking the box, the applicant, on behalf of the participating agencies agree to monitor and maintain the synchronization beyond the grant period. This also qualifies for addition points, but if selected, the corridor/grid/route will be ineligible to compete for future Project P funding until this additional monitoring and maintenance has been fulfilled. Select with caution. If you intend to compete for Project P funding again in 3 years, it is best to select "Zero Years".

Appendix A: Calculations and Estimated Points

This appendix provides the Estimated Points for your application.

Required input fields are shaded in Green. Gray fields are automatically populated based on information entered in previous sections. Description of each category is as follows:

- 1. Transportation Significance:
 - a. **Total number of offset signals (Cell H5)** refers to all possible offset signalized intersections whether or not it will be part of the optimized timing task of this application. Offset signals is defined as the signalized intersections on the MPAH that are within 2,700 feet from either direction of the project corridor. Please confirm the number with <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u> as you will not be able to change this number once the application has been submitted.
- Economic Effectiveness will be automatically populated based on information from Section 4 and Appendix C. This category takes the Total Project as entered in Section 4 and divides it by the VMT calculated in Appendix C.
- 3. **Project Characteristics** will be automatically populated based on the information provided in *Appendix B.3. See Appendix B.3* for more information on how this is calculated.
- 4. Project Scale
 - a. **Number of signals** along entire length of corridor (within Orange County) whether or not they are part of this project.
 - For a grid network, this would be the total number of signals on the main corridor.
 - For a route, use the longest corridor.
- 5. **Number of Jurisdictions** refers to the number of participants on this project. This number is automatically populated based on information entered in the Title Page.
 - a. Caltrans may only be counted as a participant if all agencies with Caltrans signalized intersections pledge to sign a cooperative agreement with Caltrans. This shall be addressed in the cover letter and letters of support from each agency.
- 6. Current Project Status:
 - a. **Retiming 75% of previous project**: This will be automatically populated based on information inputted in **Section 1.a-j**.
 - b. **Timing of 75% of new eligible project**: This will be automatically populated based on information inputted in **Section 1.a-j**.
 - c. **Implementing within 12 months**: This will be automatically populated based on information inputted in **Section 6b**.
- 7. **Funding Match** will be automatically populated based on information in Section 4.a-b.

The rest of the fields in **Gray** will automatically populate. Please make sure the values are correct based on inputs from all previous sections.

Appendix B: Agency Improvement Calculations

Appendix B.1 - Table I: Agency Improvement Preferences

The purpose of this table is to capture the equipment preferences of each participating agency and the respective cost per unit. The Item Descriptions are grouped per the Score Table in the CTFP Guidelines. Do not adjust the improvements as everything is linked. You will need to group your improvements to fit into these existing Item Descriptions. Use the Additional Notes section to expand/explain what is included in the lump sum cost. If you need assistance, email <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.

Important!

First step is to determine if this application will be a timing-only project, meaning no improvements. Select the appropriate box to the right of the table header (as shown below).

	Is this a timing-only project (no improvements)?			
TABLE I: AGENCY IMPROVEMENT PREFERENCES	Yes No			
Figure 6. Important First Step in Appendix B.1				

This selection will impact what needs to be filled out in the table so make sure to do this step first.

• As with the other sections, the input fields are shaded green. Gray fields are automatically populated.




Figure 7. Only input data in the green shaded cells.

- Select the agency names from the dropdown menu starting with **Cell D5**.
- Item Description
 - The Item Descriptions <u>SHALL NOT</u> be changed. You will need to group your improvements to fit within these existing Item Descriptions. For questions, clarifications, or guidance, please email <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.
 - All communication improvements shall be entered as a lump sum.
 - **EXAMPLE:** There are 15 intersections that will receive communications upgrades. Upgrades are breakdown down as follows:
 - \$ 94,000 x 1 Conduit repair
 - \$ 2,000 x 12 Patch panel
 - \$ 3,000 x 15 Ethernet switch
 - \$ 3,700 x 12 #6E pb + splice enclosure
 - *\$ 20 x 600 Remove copper + add pull tape*
 - \$ 1,500 x 30 #6 pb
 - <u>\$ 90 x 1,100 3" Conduit + 120-SMFO</u>
 - \$ 363,405 [Total cost of *Fiber Optic underground* improvements]
 - \$ 363,405 / 15 intersections = **\$24,227** [Cost per intersection]
 - \$24,227 will be entered as the unit cost into Appendix B.1 Table I under Item Description #2 for Fiber Optic underground as shown below:

			Is this a timing-	only project (no in	nprovements)?
TABLE I: AGI	ENCY	IMPROVEMENT PREFERENCES	Yes 🗸 No		
				UNIT PRICE (MA	FERIAL + LABOR
CATEGORIES	ID	ITEM DESCRIPTION	Dana Point	Laguna Niguel	Mission Viejo
Comm	1	Above ground (e.g. wireless, cellular, etc.)		\$1,800	
	2	Fiber Optic underground	\$3,000	\$24,227	\$23,143
	3	All other (e.g. copper, aerial fiber, GPS, etc.)	\$1,100		

- Each of the 15 intersections receiving upgrades will be marked with a Quantity of "1" under Fiber Optic Underground in **Appendix B2 Table II**.
- Use *Vendor/Brand & Additional Notes* to elaborate on items desired, such as:
 - Brand/name of ATMS
 - Brand/model of cameras
 - Items that will be included in communications
 - Conduit thickness
 - Fiber/conduit segments (i.e. from Street A to Street B)
 - Fiber strand count
 - Fiber strand count for termination
 - Length of drop cable
 - Number of SFPs and speed
 - Number and type of pullboxes
 - Type of Controller (with or without touchscreen & controller firmware)
 - Type of cabinet (including or excluding new/modified foundation)
- Under the **Unit Price (Material + Labor)** columns, enter the unit price per improvement.
 - Round numbers (length and cost) to the *nearest thousand* to avoid rounding errors.
 - This shall include all material, labor, flat-rate turn-on support, tax, and shipping costs for a fully operational improvement.
 - Common things that applicants forget to include:
 - Fiber termination, including number of strands that need to be terminated
 - Fiber distribution units and splice enclosures
 - SFP units (include quantity and speed)
 - Slack for fiber optic cable length
 - Conduit repair for fiber installation in existing conduit
 - New conduit due to lack of existing conduit capacity
 - Incorrect length of new conduit
 - Number of pullboxes required
 - Unit costs shall be estimated and derived from recent procurements, when possible, and rounded to the *nearest thousand*.
- Under the *Applicable Design Cost Per Unit* column, enter any associated design cost requested as part of that improvement. *Design costs are typically 10-15% of the improvement costs and it is assumed that some items will not require any design.*
- <u>Note</u>: In Task 2 Data Collection, the unit cost from the Section 4 tab includes field work for signal timing and infrastructure improvement efforts.

- Enter the brand/model/specific preferences under the *Vendor/Brand & Additional Notes* columns, if known. Repeat for every applicable improvement.
- Insert more columns as necessary to include all participating agencies, but make sure the formulas are consistent with the rest of the table. **If you need assistance, email** <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.
- Delete or hide columns if they are not needed to condense the table for printing.
- Delete or hide rows if they are not needed to condense the table for printing.

Appendix B.2 - Table II: Description of Work by Intersection

This table will include all the improvements and associated costs proposed on this project per intersection. Input fields are shaded green (light and dark green mean the same here). Gray fields mean the cell will be automatically populated.

- In Column A, a number is assigned to every intersection based on Section 1k. For convenience, Column C is automatically populated for you based on Section 1k. However, if this format does not work because not every intersection has improvements, you can choose to renumber the intersections in Column A but follow the numbering from Section 1k. As shown in the Sample Supplemental Application, no numbers are assigned to improvements at the Traffic Management Center (TMC). Enter the TMC improvements at the end of the table if you choose to keep the format as provided.
- 2. In Column B, use the drop-down menu to select the implementing agency. Unlike Section 1, you will be selecting the agency that will be *providing the match* for the associated improvement costs. Use red font to indicate the agency responsible for the match is different from the agency owning the intersection. For example, Caltrans intersections may not be labeled as Caltrans if a local agency is funding the improvements, as shown below.

LOCATION	IMPLEMEN	PROJECT CROSS STREETS
36	Orange	Sycamore Avenue/University Drive
37	Orange	Palm Avenue
38	Orange	La Veta Avenue
39	Santa Ana	SR-22 Westbound Ramp*
40	Santa Ana	SR-22 Eastbound Ramp*
41	Santa Ana	Fairhaven Avenue

Figure 8. Show agency responsible for match by using red font.

- Column C lists all project cross streets. For convenience, it is set up to reference Section 1k, but if you modify the numbering, you will need to adjust the contents of Column C to match the new numbering. In the Sample Supplemental Application, the intersection list is referenced to Section 1k to avoid having to retype the street names.
- 4. **Columns D to AK** show the **Item Descriptions** as listed in the Score Table in the CTFP Guidelines. These are automatically populated from **Appendix B.1 – Table I**. Do not change them.
- 5. For every intersection, enter the quantity for each improvement that is proposed in the appropriate spaces as shown in the example. If a value is added to an improvement that does not currently have a cost associated in Appendix B.1 Table I, the cell will change to yellow to indicate that information is missing in Appendix B.1 Table I. See legend at the bottom of the table for information.
- The spreadsheet is set up to automatically sum the total cost of improvements based on the quantity entered in Appendix B.2 Table II and the unit price information entered in Appendix B.1 Table I.

- In **Cell AN6**, enter the percentage of the construction that should be added for construction management and inspection (not to exceed 15%).
- In **Cell AO6**, enter the percentage of contingency that would be added to the design, construction, and construction management/inspection total **(not to exceed 10%)**.
- Total Agency Match is defaulted to 20% (minimum match required). Only change this cell if the application will provide an overmatch (cash only).
 - Notice the Cash Match column is grayed out. This is automatically calculated based on the amount of in-kind match that is entered in **Column AM**.
- 7. In the *Notes* column, enter any additional information, such as conduit/fiber segments, modifications required at any intersection, or any extra information that will help with procurement, pricing, and understanding the improvement. Since communication improvements are lump sum, please enter information here to explain what will be included. *See the Sample Supplemental Application for examples.*
- 8. If you have less locations than listed, delete the extra rows for a cleaner application.

Important Note: The cells in Table I and II are linked, thus adding rows may disrupt the formula. If you need assistance, please email <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u> for assistance.

Appendix B.3 – Table III: Project Average Improvement Scores

In this table, you will use the drop-down menus to select the appropriate score per the **Score Table** (Figure 9). The table is conditionally formatted so a cell that is highlighted yellow means a score needs to be selected. If the cell is highlighted red, it means there should be no score there because there is no relative improvement in **Tables I and II**.

If this application is a timing-only project, as selected in *Appendix B.1*, then the table with improvements will be blacked out. You will be left with the columns regarding timing-only (no improvements). If this is not a timing only project, then the timing columns will be blacked out. <u>DO NOT</u> input anything in the blacked-out cells.

Definitions:

- **Online**: Connected to a central system and is active.
- **Offline**: Not connected to a central system and/or is inactive.
- No time source: No reliable time source at the signalized intersection.
- **Time source**: There is a reliable time source at the signalized intersection, such as GPS, master controller, direct connection to central system, etc.
- None/5+ Years: None mean the improvement does not exist or has never been installed at the intersection or it has been over 5 years from the application deadline since the improvement was installed.
- Within 5 Years: This means the improvement was installed within 5 years of the application deadline.
- None/10+ Years: None mean the improvement does not exist or has never been installed at the intersection or it has been over 10 years from the application deadline since the improvement was installed.
- Within 10 Years: This means the improvement was installed within 10 years of the application deadline.
- **Participation**: Participation means Caltrans will be an active participant on this project. This requires a cooperative agreement between the agencies and Caltrans. The agreement can be a collective agreement for the project or between Caltrans and each individual agency.
- **No Participation**: This means agencies will not sign a cooperative agreement with Caltrans and Caltrans will not be an active participant.

Example:

You are applying for CCTV at an intersection that has never had CCTV, you will use the drop-down menu to select 30.

Eligible Improvements	Score Base	d on Status
Signal Communication	No Time Source	Time Source
Above ground (e.g. wireless, cellular, etc.)	50	30
Fiber Optic underground	25	15
All other (e.g. copper, aerial fiber, GPS, etc.)	5	1
Field Elements	None/5+ Years	Within 5 years
ATC signal controller	50	10
Signal cabinet on existing foundation	30	10
Signal cabinet on new foundation	15	5
BBS/USP (attached)	20	10
BBS/UPS on existing foundation	10	5
BBS/UPS on new foundation	5	1
CCTV	30	10
Vehicle detection (ATSPM inputs + counts)	50	30
Vehicle detection (ATSPM inputs)	40	20
Vehicle detection + bicycle detection	30	15
Vehicle detection	30	15
Bicycle detection	30	15
Pedestrian detection (audible)	50	30
Pedestrian detection	30	15
Active transportation/pedestrian safety	50	30
Transit Signal Priority	30	10
EVP (hybrid or GPS)	40	10
EVP (infrared)	30	10
Speed feedback signs (existing post)	40	10
Speed feedback signs (new post)	20	10
Corridor Performance Monitoring	40	10
Minor Signal Operational Improvements	None/5+ Years	Within 5 years
Channelization	40	20
Signal phasing improvement	50	25
TMC/TOC	None/10+ Years	Within 10 years
Central System (server, licenses, workstations)	40	20
Display (video wall, VMS, etc.)	30	10
UPS	20	5
Caltrans	Participation	No Participation
Cooperative Agreement	50	25

Figure 9. Score Table for Project Characteristics per CTFP Guidelines

Appendix C: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

Include the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) data calculation in this table. Input the segments (Column C) within the corresponding agency (Column B), average daily traffic (ADT, Column G), and the segment length (Column H). VMT should be calculated by the smallest segmentation on which the city typically collects ADT data. ADT must be based upon actual count information taken within 36 months preceding the application date and include 24-hour, midweek, bi-directional counts for each segment. All supporting data shall be inserted after this summary table and be organized in order in which they appear for the calculation of the VMT. Data from the OCTA Traffic Flow Map shall not be used. Failure to provide the appropriate ADT data will be treated as a non-responsive application.

Appendix D: Agency Resolutions and Letters of Support

Include the resolution for every participating agency in this appendix. A sample resolution with estimated dates is acceptable if a resolution is not ready at the time of submission. A sample resolution can be found in the CTFP Guidelines. A Microsoft Word copy may be requested by emailing <u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>.

Include the letters of support for all participating agencies in this appendix. You may also include additional information that will assist in the evaluation and understanding of the project. Please **DO NOT** include the CTFP Guidelines or the MPAH maps.

Appendix E: Additional Information

Include additional information that will assist in the evaluation and understanding of the project in this appendix, such as City-wide ITS Plan or relevant traffic studies. Please **DO NOT** include the CTFP Guidelines or the MPAH maps. Cabinet photos, as-built drawings, equipment specifications, and cabinet drawings should be uploaded to OCFundtracker and does not need to be submitted via hard copy.

Printing the Document

The intent is for all documents to look alike so it streamlines the application reviewing process and saves paper. With that in mind, navigate into the **Print** menu and select **Print Entire Workbook.** Then, use **Print Preview** to scroll through all the pages to ensure the contents are not inappropriately spilling onto the next page and that you have the correct page numbers.

- Make sure to check the page numbers in the Checklist (aka Table of Contents) as well.
- Make sure to update the **Headers** with the project name.
- Delete or hide columns/rows if they are not needed to condense the sheets for printing.
- Check that all formulas are populating correctly.

OCFundtracker

OCFundtracker Training Manual: <u>https://www.octa.net/trainingmanual</u>

Additional Help

If you have additional questions or need assistance, please email Adrian Salazar (<u>asalazar@octa.net</u>) or the Traffic Operations Team (<u>TrafficOps@octa.net</u>).

FY 2023 Call for Projects

Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program

Project P

Supplemental Application

Lake Forest Drive

10/20/2022

Application Deadline

Project Overview

Length of Corridor (mi): 7.5 Number of signals: 28 Total Project Cost: \$2,537,134.00 M2 funds requested: \$2,029,707.20 Total Match: \$507,426.80 *Cash Match:* \$507,226.80 *In-kind Match:* \$200.00 Participating Agencies: Lake Forest Laguna Hills Irvine Caltrans

Applicant Agency: City of Lake Forest

Contact Name: Tran Tran

Contact Number: 949-461-3485

Contact Email: ttps://doi.org/10.11111/journal.com

Project P Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Table of Contents

	Project P Supplemental Application Checklist	Page
RTSSP On	ine Application – submitted through OCFundTracker	
a. Trans	portation Significance	
b. Benef	ic Cost Ratio	
c. Projec	t Characteristics	Online
d. Projec	ot Scale	Onine
e. Numb	er of Jurisdictions	
f. Curre	nt Project Status	
g. Fundi	ng Over-Match	
h. Cabin	et photos, equipment specifications, as-built drawings, cabinet drawings, etc.	Flashdrive
Section 1:	Key Technical Information	
a. Name	e of Project Corridor/Grid/Route	1
b. Proje	ct Limits	1
c. Proje	ct Length	1
d. Num	er of Signalized Intersections Along Corridor	1
e. Partic	ipating Agencies/Traffic Forum Members	1
f. Lead	Agency	1
g. Desig	nation of the corridor to synchronize	1
h. Projec	t Start and End Date	1
i. Previo	us funding	1
j. Conta	ct Information	1
k. Signa	ized intersections that are part of the project	1
I. Offset	signalized intersections that are part of the project	1
m. Proje	ct Map Depicting the Project Limits	1
Section 2:	Regional Significance	2
Section 3:	Acknowledgement of Required Tasks	3
Section 4:	Funding Needs/Costs for Proposed Project by Task	6
a. Sum	mary of Project Cost	6
b. Sum	mary of Cost by Agency	6
c. Sum	mary of Intersection Improvement Costs	7
Section 5:	Detailed Local Match Commitment	10
Section 6:	Project Schedule by Task	9
a. Proje	ect Start and End Dates	9
b. Proje	ect Schedule by Task	9
Appendice	S	14
A. Calo	ulations and Estimated Points	11-12
B. Age	ncy Improvement Calculations	11-12
C. Veh	cle Miles Traveled (VMT)	8
D. Age	ncy Resolutions and Letters of Support	11
E. Add	tional Information (Optional)	13

SECTION 1: KEY TECHNICAL INFORMATION

a.	Project Corridor Lake Forest Drive				
b.	Project Limits: from Porto	la Parkway	to	Ramano/Hidde	n Canvon
C.	Project Length (<i>miles</i>): 7.5				
d.	-	sections along the corridor (ls on project corridor(s)	include all) 10	Caltrans intersections): number of offset signals ir	ncluded in this project
e.	□ Aliso Viejo □ C □ Anaheim □ C □ Brea □ F □ Buena Park □ F □ Caltrans □ C □ Costa Mesa □ F	Fullerton Image: Constraint of Co	a Beach a Hills a Niguel a Woods	ant agency): Los Alamitos Mission Viejo Newport Beach Orange Placentia Rancho Santa Margarita San Clemente	 San Juan Capistrano Santa Ana Seal Beach Stanton Tustin Villa Park Westminster Yorba Linda
f.	Lead Agency	Lake Forest			
g.	Designation of the corridor	-	М	aster Plan of Arterial Highways	Corridor
h.	Project Start Date:	July 1, 2020	Proje	ct End Date: J	une 30, 2023
і. j.	Select any that apply: Re-timing at least 75% of pre- Contact Information (Include	vious project		f new eligible project Ind address)	None
	City of La Tran Tran, Traffic Ei 949-46 ttran@lakef 100 Civic C Lake Forest	ke Forest ngineering Manager 1-3485 orestca.gov enter Drive	Actir	City of Laguna Amber Shal ng Public Services Direct 949-707-2657 (O) / 949- ashah@lagunahills 35 El Toro Road, Laguna	n tor / City Engineer 939-6377 (M) sca.gov
	City of Mark Ha, Supervising 949-72 mha@cityo 1 Civic Center Plaz	Transportation Analyst 4-6186 ofirvine.org		Caltrans Pauline Nguy hief, Traffic Signals / Ra 949-936-3484 (O) / 949- pauline.nguyen@do 6681 Marine Way, Irvin	mp Metering / Census 279-9168 (M) ot.ca.gov

k. Signalized intersections that are part of the project:

gin	Main Corridor	<u>Cross Street</u>
1	Lake Forest Drive	Romano/Hidden Canyon
2	Lake Forest Drive	Bake Parkway
3	Lake Forest Drive	Santa Vittoria Road / Tesla
4	Lake Forest Drive	Mill Creek Drive / Scientific
5	Lake Forest Drive	Moulton Parkway / Irvine Center Drive
6	Lake Forest Drive	Del Lago Drive / Research Drive
7	Lake Forest Drive	I-5 SB Off-Ramp / Avenida De La Carlota*
8	Lake Forest Drive	I-5 NB Off-Ramp*
-	Lake Forest Drive	Rockfield Blvd
10	Lake Forest Drive	Aspan St
	Lake Forest Drive	Lake Forest Town Center
12	Lake Forest Drive	Muirlands Blvd
13	Lake Forest Drive	Jeronimo Rd
14	Lake Forest Drive	Toledo Way
15	Lake Forest Drive	Serrano Road
16	Lake Forest Drive	Chinook Drive
17	Lake Forest Drive	Trabuco Road
18	Lake Forest Drive	Canada/Newvale
	Lake Forest Drive	Pittsford Drive
20	Lake Forest Drive	Vintage Woods
21	Lake Forest Drive	Dimension Drive
	Lake Forest Drive	Regency Lane
23	Lake Forest Drive	Vista Terrace
	Lake Forest Drive	Rancho Parkway
	Lake Forest Drive	SR-241 SB Off-Ramp*
26	Lake Forest Drive	SR-241 NB On-Ramp*
	Lake Forest Drive	Towne Centre Drive
28	Lake Forest Drive	Portola Parkway

<u>Legend</u> Lake Forest

Laguna Hills


Irvine

* Caltrans

I. Offset signalized intersections that are part of the project:

	Main Street	Cross Street
1	Lake Forest Drive	Laguna Canyon Road
2	Gitano	Bake Parkway
3	Irvine Center Drive	Bake Parkway
4	Research	Bake Parkway
5	Tesla	Irvine Center Drive
6	Scientific	Irvine Center Drive
7	Scientific	Research
8	Protocol	Irvine Center Drive
9	Inquiry	Research
10	El Pacifico Drive	Moulton Parkway

m. Project Map Depicting the Project Limits and Offset Signals



SECTION 2: REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

Explain why this project is regionally significant: <INSERT TEXT> Use this section to also justify why a grid/route is requested, if applicable.

SECTION 3: ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REQUIRED TASKS

a. **PROJECT TASKS**

By checking this box, the Applicant Agency, on behalf of all the participating agencies, agree to the following tasks:

Primary Implementation (PI) Phase, lasting approximately one year shall include the following:

Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase

This task is ongoing throughout the duration of the PI Phase of the project. It includes day-to-day project management, such as meetings, progress reports, tracking of schedules, tracking of cost by agency, invoicing, and overall administration of the PROJECT.

The following list is a minimum of what is required of this task:

• A running record of project cost broken down by Participating Agency shall be part of this task. This information will be used by the Lead Agency to bill Participating Agencies for their respective project match.

• A running record of all scope changes and/or any deviations from the final approved application. This information will be used by the Lead Agency to request for Scope Changes at the Semi-Annual Review (SAR).

Task 2: Data Collection and Field Review

This task shall include collecting seven-day, 24-hour machine counts, including vehicle and bike classifications, along each 1-mile segment of the corridor(s). The project shall also produce weekday and weekend peak period intersection turning movement (ITM) counts at every signalized intersection, including pedestrian and bicycle counts. ITM counts shall be conducted for two hours of each weekday peak period (AM, mid-day, and PM) and a single four-hour Saturday mid-day peak period. All counts shall be summarized in Microsoft Excel format. All data shall adhere to the CTFP Guidelines for data compatibility.

Data collection also includes field review of before and after conditions. The floating car method shall be utilized with software and GPS for the 'Before' Study to fine-tune the corridor operation and verify integrity of system intersection clocks. Synchronized Video shall be used to compare actual conditions to anticipated conditions dictated by the time-space diagram so that any anomalies may be corrected prior to the 'After' studies task.

Field review conducted as part of this task will document the existing conditions for all signal timing, infrastructure, and system improvements on the project. This includes pre-construction pictures for comparison during the post-construction walkthrough, should there be any questions or discrepancies noted by any parties. Data Collection and Field Review Memos shall be provided to all participating agencies.

Task 3: System Design and Construction

The Lead Agency will hire a consultant(s), licensed contractor(s), and/or use city staff, or extension of staff, to design, procure, install, construct, and implement all desired components of the project as described in this application in accordance with the CTFP Guidelines.

All work and equipment supplied for the project shall comply and be done in accordance with the latest standards and provisions of each Participating Agency or latest approved California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Standard Plans and Standard Specifications.

As-built plans shall be provided to match the improvements. This task is not complete until all participating agencies approve the improvements implemented in their jurisdiction.

SECTION 3: ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REQUIRED TASKS

Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementation

Synchronization will be inter-jurisdictional in nature. All existing traffic patterns, flows, and conditions will be taken into account. At a minimum, synchronized timing plans will be developed for a weekday AM, Mid-day, PM, and a Weekend peak period. Special generators such as schools and businesses along with cross street traffic will be considered as part of the project. Timing plans that will be developed will assist traffic in getting to its destination without regard to physical or jurisdictional boundaries.

The following list is a minimum of what is required of this task:

• A review of the basic timing parameters

• Concept of Operations documenting the recommended coordination strategies (e.g. segments, cycle lengths, etc.) based on existing data collection and simulations

• Existing and Optimized simulation networks in Synchro (version 10) that is also shared with OCTA using the OCTA designated ID numbers

• Implementation and fine-tuning of proposed timing plans

This task will not be complete until all participating agencies approve the new timing plans

Task 5: Final PI Report

A Final PI Report, with an executive summary, shall provide complete documentation of the project, including, but not limited to:

• Project scope, objectives, locations, findings, and recommendations

• Data collected: counts, travel time studies, and project benefits achieved in terms of fuel savings, travel time, and other measurable parameters

• For each intersection: lane configurations, signal phasing, turning movement data, and cycle lengths for existing and proposed timings for all peak periods

- All work performed for system construction and signal timing optimization
- Implementation schedule and improvements accomplished, including dates
- Procedures for continuing maintenance, surveillance, and evaluation of the coordinated signal system

The report shall document all planned and programmed improvements on the study corridor as well as recommendations based on PI tasks for further infrastructure improvements that would likely improve the corridor signal coordination project results. The report shall be completed in accordance with the current CTFP Guidelines.

Finally, the report shall provide recommendations with cost and benefit estimates for future improvements to traffic signal infrastructure (signal controllers, vehicle detection, communications, etc.), intersection capacity (appropriate signal phasing, lane geometrics, and alleviation of physical bottlenecks that curtail arterial capacity), and traffic management strategies. These proposed improvements should be useful in determining future enhancements to the corridor.

A Project Summary Sheet, one sheet front and back, that describes the project and improvements gained shall be provided to OCTA. This sheet will be used by OCTA and Participating Agencies to present to the Board and elected officials.

By checking this box, the following additional PI task(s) and/or exceptions will be made: </br>

SECTION 3: ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REQUIRED TASKS

ONGOING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) PHASE, lasting approximately two (2) years, shall include the following:

Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase

This task includes day-to-day project management, such as meetings, tracking of schedules, invoicing, and overall administration of the project. This task shall continue in full force as specified in the Primary Implementation Phase.

Task 7: Continuing Support

During this 24-month period, the signal timing along the corridor/route/grid shall be observed and finetuned. This task shall also include the monitoring, maintaining, and repair of detection and communication implemented as part of this project. Monthly drives shall be conducted along the length of the project during all designated corridor synchronization timing plan hours of operation in order to verify that the synchronization timing is working as designed and complete any necessary adjustments. This is followed by a monthly memorandum summarizing the status and trends of the corridor based on the runs conducted. Trip logs for the month shall be provided to the Participating Agencies. The memorandum shall include all additional tasks requested and completed during that month. Performance metrics comparisons from ATSPM, where available, shall also be included in the memorandum.

Task 8: Final O&M Report

At the end of the O&M Phase, a Final O&M Report documenting the Ongoing Operations and Maintenance efforts and procedures for continuing maintenance shall be prepared. At the minimum, the memorandum shall include when travel runs were conducted and issues and solutions throughout the phase. The memorandum shall document all planned and programmed improvements on the study corridor as well as recommendations for further infrastructure improvements that would likely improve the corridor signal coordination project results.

By checking this box, the following additional O&M task(s) and/or exceptions will be made: </br>

<Insert Text>

b. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE AND OTHER PERMITS

By checking this box, the Applicant Agency, on behalf of all the participating agencies, agree to obtain environmental clearance and other permits (if needed) for this project

c. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF MEETING CTFP GUIDELINES

By checking this box, the Applicant Agency, on behalf of all the participating agencies, certify that all current CTFP guidelines were met for this project.

٦

SECTION 4: FUNDING NEEDS / COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROJECT BY TASK

a. Summary of Project Cost

Project Tasks		Total Cost		Match					
110/00110383		TOTALCOST	Cash			In-Kind			
Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase	\$	76,000.00	\$	15,200.00	\$	-			
Task 2: Data Collection	\$	64,600.00	\$	12,920.00	\$	-			
Task 3: System Design and Construction	\$	1,877,834.00	\$	375,366.80	\$	200.00			
Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementation	\$	380,000.00	\$	76,000.00	\$	-			
Task 5: Project Report	\$	38,000.00	\$	7,600.00	\$	-			
Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase	\$	15,200.00	\$	3,040.00	\$	-			
Task 7: Continuing Support	\$	76,000.00	\$	15,200.00	\$	-			
Task 8: Final Technical Memorandum	\$	9,500.00	\$	1,900.00	\$	-			
Total Project Cost:	\$	2,537,134.00	\$	507,226.80	\$	200.00			

Total Project Cost (Including PI and O&M for a total of 3 years): Г

IUlai
\$ 2,029,707.20
\$ 507,426.80
\$ 2,537,134.00
\$

Total

SECTION 4: FUNDING NEEDS / COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROJECT BY TASK

b. Summary of Cost by Agency

Lake Forest	Agency	Caltrans	Offset	Total
Number of Signals:	18	3	0	21

Drojaat Taaka (Laka Faraat)		~	aat / Int	Total Coat	Match					
Project Tasks (Lake Forest)		C	ost / Int	Total Cost		Cash		In-Kind		
Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase	;	\$	2,000.00	\$ 42,000.00	\$	8,400.00				
Task 2: Data Collection	;	\$	1,700.00	\$ 35,700.00	\$	7,140.00				
Task 3: System Design and Construction			-	\$ 1,748,321.50	\$	349,464.30	\$	200.00		
Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementation			10,000.00	\$ 210,000.00	\$	42,000.00				
Task 5: Project Report	;	\$	1,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$	4,200.00				
Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase	;	\$	400.00	\$ 8,400.00	\$	1,680.00				
Task 7: Continuing Support	;	\$	2,000.00	\$ 42,000.00	\$	8,400.00				
Task 8: Final Technical Memorandum	;	\$	250.00	\$ 5,250.00	\$	1,050.00				
PI M2 Request: \$ 1,645,617.20 T	otal	Pro	oject Cost:	\$ 2,112,671.50	\$	422,334.30	\$	200.00		
O&M M2 Request: \$ 44,520.00 1	ſotal	I M2	2 Request:	\$ 1,690,137.20		Total Match:	\$	422,534.30		

Laguna Hills	Agency	Caltrans	Offset	Total
Number of Signals:	4	1	6	11

Drojaat Taaka (Laguna Hilla)		Cost / Int		Total Cost	Match					
Project Tasks (Laguna Hills)			Total Cost			Cash		In-Kind		
Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase	\$	2,000.00	\$	22,000.00	\$	4,400.00				
Task 2: Data Collection	\$	1,700.00	\$	18,700.00	\$	3,740.00				
Task 3: System Design and Construction		-	\$	108,760.00	\$	21,752.00	\$	-		
Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementation	\$	10,000.00	\$	110,000.00	\$	22,000.00				
Task 5: Project Report	\$	1,000.00	\$	11,000.00	\$	2,200.00				
Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase	\$	400.00	\$	4,400.00	\$	880.00				
Task 7: Continuing Support	\$	2,000.00	\$	22,000.00	\$	4,400.00				
Task 8: Final Technical Memorandum	\$	250.00	\$	2,750.00	\$	550.00				
PI M2 Request: \$ 216,368.00 Tota	al Pi	roject Cost:	\$	299,610.00	\$	59,922.00	\$	-		
O&M M2 Request: \$ 23,320.00 Tot	al N	I2 Request:	\$	239,688.00		Total Match:	\$	59,922.00		

Irvine	Agency	Caltrans	Offset	Total
Number of Signals:	2		4	6

Droject Tecko (Imine)		Coot / Int		Total Cost			
Project Tasks (Irvine)		Cost / Int Total Cost			Cash		In-Kind
Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase	\$	2,000.00	\$	12,000.00	\$ 2,400.00		
Task 2: Data Collection	\$	1,700.00	\$	10,200.00	\$ 2,040.00		
Task 3: System Design and Construction		-	\$	20,752.50	\$ 4,150.50	\$	-
Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementatio	n \$	10,000.00	\$	60,000.00	\$ 12,000.00		
Task 5: Project Report	\$	1,000.00	\$	6,000.00	\$ 1,200.00		
Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase	\$	400.00	\$	2,400.00	\$ 480.00		
Task 7: Continuing Support	\$	2,000.00	\$	12,000.00	\$ 2,400.00		
Task 8: Final Technical Memorandum	\$	250.00	\$	1,500.00	\$ 300.00		
PI M2 Request: \$ 87,162.00 To	otal P	roject Cost:	\$	124,852.50	\$ 24,970.50	\$	-
O&M M2 Request: \$ 12,720.00 T	otal I	//2 Request:	\$	99,882.00	Total Match:	\$	24,970.50

SECTION 4: FUNDING NEEDS / COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROJECT BY TASK

c. Summary of Intersection Improvement Costs

				TAS	SK :	3 IMPROVE	ΕM	ENT TOTALS			AGENCY MATCH				
LOC.	AGENCY	PROJECT CROSS STREETS							Average	Тс	otal Agency				
				Design	C	onstruction		TOTAL	Score		Match		Cash		In-Kind
1	Lake Forest	Romano/Hidden Canyon	\$	1,800.00	\$	33,702.50	\$	35,502.50	30.0	\$	7,100.50		7,100.50	\$	-
2	Irvine	Bake Parkway	\$	-	\$	10,752.50	\$	10,752.50	50.0	\$	2,150.50	\$	2,150.50	\$	-
3	Laguna Hills	Santa Vittoria Road / Tesla	\$	-	\$	26,565.00	\$	26,565.00	50.0	\$	5,313.00	\$	5,313.00	\$	-
4	Laguna Hills	Mill Creek Drive / Scientific	\$	-	\$	26,565.00	\$	26,565.00	50.0	\$	5,313.00	\$	5,313.00	\$	-
5	Laguna Hills	Moulton Parkway / Irvine Center Drive	\$	-	\$	26,565.00	\$	26,565.00	50.0	\$	5,313.00	\$	5,313.00	\$	-
6	Laguna Hills	Del Lago Drive / Research Drive	\$	-	\$	26,565.00	\$	26,565.00	50.0	\$	5,313.00	\$	5,313.00	\$	-
7	Laguna Hills	I-5 SB Off-Ramp / Avenida De La Carlot	\$	-	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	40.0	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	\$	-
8	Lake Forest	I-5 NB Off-Ramp*	\$	-	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	40.0	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	\$	-
9	Lake Forest	Rockfield Blvd	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
10	Lake Forest	Aspan St	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
11	Lake Forest	Lake Forest Town Center	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
12	Lake Forest	Muirlands Blvd	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
13	Lake Forest	Jeronimo Rd	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
14	Lake Forest	Toledo Way	\$	8,880.00	\$	128,653.00	\$	137,533.00	27.5	\$	27,506.60	\$	27,306.60	\$	200.00
15	Lake Forest	Serrano Road	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
16	Lake Forest	Chinook Drive	\$	6,380.00	\$	96,778.00	\$	103,158.00	27.0	\$	20,631.60	\$	20,631.60	\$	-
17	Lake Forest	Trabuco Road	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
18	Lake Forest	Canada/Newvale	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
19	Lake Forest	Pittsford Drive	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
20	Lake Forest	Vintage Woods	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
21	Lake Forest	Dimension Drive	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
22	Lake Forest	Regency Lane	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
23	Lake Forest	Vista Terrace	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
24	Lake Forest	Rancho Parkway	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
25	Lake Forest	SR-241 SB Off-Ramp*	\$	-	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	40.0	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	\$	-
26	Lake Forest	SR-241 NB On-Ramp*	\$	-	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00	40.0	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	\$	-
27	Lake Forest	Towne Centre Drive	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
28	Lake Forest	Portola Parkway	\$	5,580.00	\$	78,988.00	\$	84,568.00	25.0	\$	16,913.60	\$	16,913.60	\$	-
-	Irvine	TMC Improvements	\$	-	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00	20.0	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	-
-		SIGNAL	. IM	PROVEME	ENT	TOTAL =	\$	1,877,834.00	31.9	\$	375,566.80	\$:	375,366.80	\$	200.00

PART 1: AGENCY TOTAL MATCH SUMMARY

Agency	CAS	6H	IN-K	(IND	TOTAL	MATCH	
Agency	PI	OMM	PI	OMM	PI	OMM	
Lake Forest	\$411,204.30	\$11,130.00	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$411,404.30	\$11,130.00	
Lake Forest	\$422,3	34.30	\$20	0.00	\$422,	534.30	
	\$54,092.00	\$5,830.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$54,092.00	\$5,830.00	
	Laguna Hills \$59,922.00		\$0.	.00	\$59,922.00		
Irvine	\$21,790.50	\$3,180.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,790.50	\$3,180.00	
IIVIIIe	\$24,97	0.50	\$0.	.00	\$24,970.50		
	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	
TOTAL MATCH	#REF!		#RI	EF!	#REF!		

PART 2: MATCH BREAKDOWN (CASH vs IN-KIND SERVICES)

A. Cash Match

Agency	Funding Source	Amount of Cash Contribution
City of Lake Forest	Local Funds	\$422,334.30
City of Laguna Hills	Local Funds	\$59,922.00
City of Irvine	Local Funds	\$24,970.50
	TOTAL CASH MATCH:	\$507,226.80

B. In-Kind Services

i. Specific Improvements (List items and Cost):

Agency	Description	Expenditure
	N/A	
	Total Specific Improvements (i):	\$0.00

ii. Staffing Commitment:

Agency	Staff Position	Type of Service to Project	No. of Hours	Fully Burdened Hourly Rate	Total*
Lake Forest	Contract Traffic Support	Plan review	2	\$100.00	\$200.00
			Total for	r City of Lake Forest:	\$200.00
			Total Staffi	ng Commitment (ii):	\$200.00
			TOTAL IN-K	IND MATCH* (i + ii):	\$200.00

*Total amount is the required participation by the identified agency. The number of hours and hourly rate will be based on each agency's actual fully burdened billing rates, which must collectively equal the same value of the assigned "Total" dollars. Each agency will be responsible for keeping detailed records of hours worked and description of work. An accounting record of personnel, hours at fully burdened rate shall be included with the in-kind report submittals. Records will be subject to auditing. In-kind match can be converted to Cash Match, but Cash Match cannot be converted to in-kind match.

SECTION 6: PROJECT SCHEDULE BY TASK

a. Projected Start and End Dates:

Project start date: July 1, 2023

Project end date: June 30, 2026

b. Projected Schedule by Task

Task	Starting Date	Ending Date
Task 1: Project Management - PI Phase	July 1, 2023	June 30, 2023
Task 2: Data Collection	July 1, 2023	December 31, 2023
Task 3: System Design and Construction	July 1, 2023	March 31, 2024
Task 4: Signal Timing Optimization and Implementation	July 1, 2023	May 31, 2024
Task 5: Project Report	February 1, 2024	June 30, 2024
Task 6: Project Management - O&M Phase	July 1, 2024	June 30, 2026
Task 7: Continuing Support	July 1, 2024	June 30, 2026
Task 8: Final Technical Memorandum	June 1, 2026	June 30, 2026

□ By checking this box, the Applicant Agency, on behalf of all the participating agencies, agree to implement this project within 12 months. (*This means the project will be ineligible for delays and timely use funds extensions. This is not applicable to projects requesting OCTA to lead.*)

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

Appendix A CALCULATIONS AND ESTIMATED POINTS

APPENDIX C: CALCULATIONS AND ESTIMATED POINTS

Criteria (Max Points)	Estimated Points
1. Transportation Significance (25 points)	
Inclusion of offset signals w/in 2,700'	
# of offset signals on project / total # of offset signals: 10 / 30 = 33.3%	6
= 0	
<u>Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)</u> : <u>182,892</u> = 6	
2. Economic Effectiveness (Cost Benefit Ratio): (10 points)	6
Calculation for Total Project Cost / VMT = <u>\$2,537,134</u> / <u>182,892</u> = <u>13.87</u>	U
3. Project Characteristics: (20 points)	10
<u>Average project improvement score</u> = 31.9	10
4. Project Scale: (20 points)	
# of signals along entire length of corridor: 29 = 4	14
# of signals being synched / total # of corridor signals: 28 / 29 = 96.6%	
= 10	
5. Number of Jurisdictions: (10 points)	8
4 Participating Jurisdiction(s)	U
6. Current Project Status (10 points)	
<u>Yes.</u> Retiming 75% of previous project = 5	5
<u>Not</u> Timing 75% of new eligible project = 0	Ũ
<u>Not</u> Implementing within 12 months = 0	
7. Funding Match: (5 points)	0
<u>\$507,426.80</u> / <u>\$2,537,134.00</u> = <u>20.00%</u>	0
Total Estimated Points:	49

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

Appendix B

AGENCY IMPROVEMENT CALCULATIONS

 TABLE I: AGENCY IMPROVEMENT PREFERENCES
 Yes
 No

			UNIT P	RICE (MATERIAL +	LABOR)	APPLICA	BLE DESIGN COST	PER UNIT	VENDOR/	BRAND & ADDITION	NAL NOTES
CATEGORIES		ITEM DESCRIPTION	Lake Forest	Laguna Hills	Irvine	Lake Forest	Laguna Hills	Irvine	Lake Forest	Laguna Hills	Irvine
	1	Above ground (e.g. wireless, cellular, etc.)									
Comm	2	Fiber Optic underground	\$25,000			\$2,500			Actelis ML 680DF; 29,000 If of copper removal; cable testing on reel and post test; 35075 If of 72-SMFO; 12-SMFO breakout cable at all locations; splice cabinet at all locations; patch panel at all locations; splice closure and splice through points (3 locations)		
	3	All other (e.g. copper, aerial fiber, GPS, etc.)							Actelis ML 6916E		
	4	ATC signal controller	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$8,500				Econolite Cobalt	Cobalt Controller w/FSK Card	2070-1C modu with the latest AS 2070 firmware including centr system integrati Iteris SDLC-IN
		Signal cabinet on existing foundation	\$25,000			\$2,500			TS2 Type 2 P44		
		Signal cabinet on new foundation									
	7	BBS/USP (attached)									
		BBS/UPS on existing foundation	\$8,000			\$800			UPS Cabinet for Existing Myers Unit w/ 4 Batteries		
	9	BBS/UPS on new foundation									
		сстv			\$18,000			\$1,800			Axis IP CCT Camera, moun CAT5e cable
		Vehicle detection (ATSPM inputs + counts)									
Field	12	Vehicle detection (ATSPM inputs)									
Elements	13	Vehicle detection + bicycle detection									iteris SDLC-IM intended operat with all necess appurtenance
	14	Vehicle detection	\$30,000			\$3,000			Wavetronix Radar Detection		
	15	Bicycle detection									
		Pedestrian detection (audible)		\$15,000		\$1,500			Countdown ped head	Navigator iN2 APS with Sign; countdown ped head	
		Pedestrian detection	\$7,000			\$80					
		Active transportation / pedestrian safety									
		Transit Signal Priority							4		ļ
		EVP (hybrid or GPS)		\$12,000		\$1,200					
	21	EVP (infrared)									
		Speed feedback signs (existing post)									
		Speed feedback signs (new post)									
		Signal Performance Monitoring									1
Minor Signal	25	Channelization									
Op Improve	26	Signal phasing improvement									

vine	
C modules	
atest ASC3-	
firmware,	
ng central	
integration;	
SDLC-IM	
IP CCTV	
a, mounts,	
5e cable	
DLC-IM for	
d operation	
necessary	
tenances	

TABLE II: DESCRIPTION OF WORK BY INTERSECTION

			DESCRIPTION OF WORK										
LOCATION	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY	PROJECT CROSS STREETS	Keiber Optic underground	ATC signal controller	Signal cabinet on existing or foundation	∞ BBS/UPS on existing ∞ foundation	6 CCTV	Vehicle detection	Pedestrian detection (audible)	L Pedestrian detection	Central System (server, licenses, workstations)	Caltrans Cooperative Agreement	
1	Irvine	Romano/Hidden Canyon		1			1						
2	Irvine	Bake Parkway		1									
3	Laguna Hills	Santa Vittoria Road / Tesla		1					1				
4	Laguna Hills	Mill Creek Drive / Scientific		1					1				
5	Laguna Hills	Moulton Parkway / Irvine Center Drive		1					1				
6	Laguna Hills	Del Lago Drive / Research Drive		1					1				
7	Laguna Hills	I-5 SB Off-Ramp / Avenida De La Carlota*										1	
8	Lake Forest	I-5 NB Off-Ramp*										1	
	Lake Forest	Rockfield Blvd	1	1		1		1		1			
10	Lake Forest	Aspan St	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Lake Forest Town Center	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Muirlands Blvd	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Jeronimo Rd	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Toledo Way	1	1	1	1		1		1			
15	Lake Forest	Serrano Road	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Chinook Drive	1	1		1		1		1			
	Lake Forest	Trabuco Road	1					1		1			
	Lake Forest	Canada/Newvale	1					1		1			
	Lake Forest	Pittsford Drive	1					1		1			
	Lake Forest	Vintage Woods	1					1		1			
	Lake Forest	Dimension Drive	1					1		1			
22	Lake Forest	Regency Lane	1					1		1			
	Lake Forest	Vista Terrace	1	1				1	1	1			
	Lake Forest	Rancho Parkway	1					1		1			
25	Lake Forest	SR-241 SB Off-Ramp*										1	
	Lake Forest	SR-241 NB On-Ramp*										1	
	Lake Forest	Towne Centre Drive	1		1			1		1	1		
	Lake Forest	Portola Parkway	1		1			1		1	1		
	Irvine	TMC Improvements									1		
		QUANTITY TOTAL =	18	14	1	8	1	18	4	18	1	4	
		QUANTITI TUTAL -	10	14		0	I	10	4	10		4	

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

TABLE II: DESCRIPTION OF WORK BY INTERSECTION

				SIGNA		NT COSTS		AG	ENCY MATCH		
LOCATION	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY	PROJECT CROSS STREETS	Design	Construction	Construction Management & Inspection 15%	Contingenc	TOTAL	Total Agency Match 20.0%	Cash	In-Kind	
1	Irvine	Romano/Hidden Canyon	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 26,500.00	\$ 3,975.00	\$ 3,227.50	\$ 35,502.50	\$ 7,100.50	\$ 7,100.50		2070-1C mo integration; I
2	Irvine	Bake Parkway	\$-	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 1,275.00	\$ 977.50	\$ 10,752.50	\$ 2,150.50	\$ 2,150.50		Cobalt w/FS
3	Laguna Hills	Santa Vittoria Road / Tesla	\$-	\$ 21,000.00		\$ 2,415.00			\$ 5,313.00		Cobalt w/FS
4	Laguna Hills	Mill Creek Drive / Scientific	\$-	\$ 21,000.00		\$ 2,415.00			\$ 5,313.00		Cobalt w/FS
5	Laguna Hills	Moulton Parkway / Irvine Center Drive	\$-	\$ 21,000.00		\$ 2,415.00		. ,			Cobalt w/FS
6	Laguna Hills	Del Lago Drive / Research Drive	\$-	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 2,415.00	\$ 26,565.00	. ,			Cobalt w/FS
7	Laguna Hills		\$-	\$ 2,500.00			\$ 2,500.00				Timing only
8	Lake Forest	I-5 NB Off-Ramp*	\$-	\$ 2,500.00			\$ 2,500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		Timing only
9	Lake Forest	Rockfield Blvd	\$ 6,380.00	\$ 76,000.00	\$ 11,400.00	\$ 9,378.00	\$ 103,158.00	\$ 20,631.60	\$ 20,631.60		Actelis ML69 batteries for
10	Lake Forest	Aspan St	\$ 6,380.00		\$ 11,400.00	\$ 9,378.00	\$ 103,158.00	\$ 20,631.60	\$ 20,631.60		Actelis ML68
11	Lake Forest	Lake Forest Town Center	\$ 6,380.00	\$ 76,000.00	\$ 11,400.00	\$ 9,378.00		\$ 20,631.60			Actelis ML68
12	Lake Forest	Muirlands Blvd	\$ 6,380.00			\$ 9,378.00		\$ 20,631.60			Actelis ML69
13	Lake Forest	Jeronimo Rd	\$ 6,380.00			\$ 9,378.00		\$ 20,631.60			Actelis ML69
14	Lake Forest	Toledo Way	\$ 8,880.00			\$ 12,503.00		\$ 27,506.60		\$ 200.00	Actelis ML68
15	Lake Forest	Serrano Road	\$ 6,380.00			\$ 9,378.00		\$ 20,631.60			Actelis ML68
	Lake Forest	Chinook Drive	\$ 6,380.00			\$ 9,378.00		\$ 20,631.60			Actelis ML68
	Lake Forest	Trabuco Road	\$ 5,580.00			\$ 7,688.00		\$ 16,913.60			Actelis ML69
	Lake Forest	Canada/Newvale	\$ 5,580.00			\$ 7,688.00		\$ 16,913.60			Actelis ML68
19	Lake Forest	Pittsford Drive	\$ 5,580.00			\$ 7,688.00		\$ 16,913.60	. ,		Actelis ML69
20	Lake Forest	Vintage Woods	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML68
21	Lake Forest	Dimension Drive	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML68 SWC @ Lak
22	Lake Forest	Regency Lane	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML68
	Lake Forest	Vista Terrace	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML68
24	Lake Forest	Rancho Parkway	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML68 Lake Forest/ controller w/
25	Lake Forest	SR-241 SB Off-Ramp*	\$-	\$ 2,500.00			\$ 2,500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		Timing only
26	Lake Forest	SR-241 NB On-Ramp*	\$-	\$ 2,500.00			\$ 2,500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		Timing only
27	Lake Forest	Towne Centre Drive	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		controller w/
28	Lake Forest	Portola Parkway	\$ 5,580.00	\$ 62,000.00	\$ 9,300.00	\$ 7,688.00	\$ 84,568.00	\$ 16,913.60	\$ 16,913.60		Actelis ML69
-	Irvine	TMC Improvements	\$-	\$ 10,000.00			\$ 10,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00		Maxview lice
		QUANTITY TOTAL =		SIGNAL	. IMPROVEME	NT TOTAL =	\$ 1,877,834.00	\$ 375,566.80	\$ 375,366.80	\$ 200.00	

Ν	0	Т	E	S

odules w/latest ASC3-2070 firmware, incl. central system ; Iteris SDLC-IM

SK card; Navigator iN2 APS w/sign & Controller assembly SK card; Navigator iN2 APS w/sign & Controller assembly SK card; Navigator iN2 APS w/sign & Controller assembly SK card; Navigator iN2 APS w/sign & Controller assembly SK card; Navigator iN2 APS w/sign & Controller assembly

6916E; controller w/TIO panel & harness; UPS cab + 4 or exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

6916E; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

6916E; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers; In-kind for plan 680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

6916E; controller w/TIO panel & harness; UPS cab + 4

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

6916E; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; 2"C w/#10 tracer wire btw EB Adv loop pb & pb on ake Forest/Forest Ridge; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

680DF; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

580DF; 2"C w/#10 tracer wire btw SWC pb & pb on SWC @ st/Skate Park & EB Adv loop pb @ Lake Forest/Town Ctr; //TIO panel & harness; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers

v/TIO panel & harness; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers 6916E; UPS cab + 4 batteries for exist Myers censes + agreement

TABLE III: PROJECT AVERAGE IMPROVEMENT SCORES

			DESCRIPTION OF WORK																					
LOCATION	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY	PROJECT CROSS STREETS	Above ground (e.g. wireless, cellular, etc.)	Fiber Optic underground Fiber Optic underground All other (e.g. copper, aerial fiber, GPS, etc.) ATC signal controller Signal controller Signal cabinet on existing foundation BBS/UPS on existing foundation Vehicle detection Vehicle detection Vehi													Incenses, workstations) Display (video wall, VMS, etc.) UPS for TMC UPS for TMC Timing Only Timing + Traffic Responsive (license only) Timing + Peer-to-Peer (incense only) Timing + Traffic Adaptive (license only) Timing + Traffic Adaptive (license only) Timing + Traffic Adaptive Configuration only) Timing + Traffic Adaptive Caltrans Cooperative				AVERAGE IMPROVEMENT SCORE	NOTES		
1	Irvine	Romano/Hidden Canyon				50			10														30.0	
	Irvine	Bake Parkway				50																	50.0	
	Laguna Hills	Santa Vittoria Road / Tesla	1			50					50						1					1	50.0	
	Laguna Hills	Mill Creek Drive / Scientific	1	1		50					50												50.0	
	Laguna Hills	Moulton Parkway / Irvine Center Drive				50					50												50.0	
	Laguna Hills	Del Lago Drive / Research Drive				50					50		t t								İ		50.0	
	Laguna Hills	I-5 SB Off-Ramp / Avenida De La Carlota*	1																			40	40.0	
	Lake Forest	I-5 NB Off-Ramp*	1																			40	40.0	
	Lake Forest	Rockfield Blvd	1	15		50		10		30		30										1	27.0	
	Lake Forest	Aspan St		15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
11	Lake Forest	Lake Forest Town Center		15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
12	Lake Forest	Muirlands Blvd		15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
13	Lake Forest	Jeronimo Rd	1	15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
14	Lake Forest	Toledo Way		15		50	30	10		30		30											27.5	
	Lake Forest	Serrano Road		15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
16	Lake Forest	Chinook Drive		15		50		10		30		30											27.0	
17	Lake Forest	Trabuco Road		15						30		30											25.0	
18	Lake Forest	Canada/Newvale		15						30		30											25.0	
19	Lake Forest	Pittsford Drive		15						30		30											25.0	
20	Lake Forest	Vintage Woods		15						30		30											25.0	
	Lake Forest	Dimension Drive		15						30		30											25.0	
22	Lake Forest	Regency Lane		15						30		30											25.0	
23	Lake Forest	Vista Terrace		15						30		30											25.0	
24	Lake Forest	Rancho Parkway		15						30		30											25.0	
25	Lake Forest	SR-241 SB Off-Ramp*																				40	40.0	
	Lake Forest	SR-241 NB On-Ramp*																				40	40.0	
	Lake Forest	Towne Centre Drive		15						30		30											25.0	
28	Lake Forest	Portola Parkway		15						30		30											25.0	
_	Irvine	TMC Improvements													20								20.0	
																			AV	ERAG	E SC	ORE =	31.9	

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

Appendix C

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT)

APPENDIX C: VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT)

Segment	ADTs	Distance	VMT
Portola Pkwy - Towne Centre Dr	10,100	0.26	2,626
Towne Centre Dr - SR-241 On-Ramp	10,100	0.10	1,010
Sr-241 Off Ramp - Rancho Pkwy	10,100	0.14	1,414
Rancho Pkwy - Vista Terrace	22,100	0.24	5,304
Vista Terrace - Regency Lane	22,100	0.23	5,083
Regency Lane - Dimension Dr	19,300	0.18	3,474
Dimension Dr - Vintage Woods	19,300	0.45	8,685
Vintage Woods - Pittsford Dr	19,300	0.28	5,404
Pittsford Dr - Canada/Newvale	25,100	0.67	16,817
Canada/Newvale - Trabuco Rd	25,100	0.25	6,275
Trabuco Rd - Chinook Dr	33,800	0.12	4,056
Chinook Dr - Serrano Rd	33,800	0.09	3,042
Serrano Rd - Toledo Way	33,800	0.56	18,928
Toledo Way - Jeronimo Rd	33,500	0.35	11,725
Jeronimo Rd - Muirlands Blvd	32,200	0.61	19,642
Muirlands Blvd - Lake Forest Town Center	36,200	0.13	4,706
Lake Forest Town Center - Aspan St	36,200	0.21	7,602
Aspan St - Rockfield Blvd	36,200	0.19	6,878
Rockfield Blvd - I-5 Ramp	53,200	0.12	6,384
I-5 Ramp - De Lago	53,200	0.47	25,004
Del Lago - Moulton Pkwy	20,800	0.18	3,744
MoultonPkwy - Mill Creek	10,300	0.28	2,884
Mill Creek - Santa Vittoria	9,500	0.29	2,755
Santa Vittoria - Bake Pkwy	9,000	0.43	3,870
Bake Pkwy - Romano/Hidden Canyon	9,000	0.62	5,580
	Total Project VMT:	7.45	182,892

Source: 2016 City of Lake Forest, 2016 City of Laguna Hills, and 2016 City of Irvine ADT Counts

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

Appendix D

AGENCY RESOLUTIONS AND LETTERS OF SUPPORT

Lake Forest RTSSP Project

Appendix E ADDITIONAL INFORMATION