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INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PROPOSITION 1B SCHEDULE OF UNSPENT FUNDS AND CASH DISBURSEMENTS

Board of Directors
Orange County Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have audited the financial statement of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining information of the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated October 31, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our report included an emphasis-of-matter regarding OCTA's adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72 – Fair Value Measurement and Application, and GASB Statement No. 82 – Pension Issues, an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73, effective July 1, 2015.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise OCTA's basic financial statements. The Proposition 1B Schedule of Unspent Funds and Cash Disbursements (Schedule) is presented for purposes of additional analysis, to satisfy the requirements of Section 6667 of Title 21 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Government Code §8879.50 and the California State Senate Bill 88 (2007), et seq. and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Laguna Hills, California
October 31, 2016
## SCHEDULE OF UNSPENT FUNDS AND CASH DISBURSEMENTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>PTMISEA (1)</th>
<th>TSSSDRA (2)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unspent Prop 1B funds as of June 30, 2015</td>
<td>$41,195,977</td>
<td>$3,919,616</td>
<td>$45,115,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop 1B funds received during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest revenue earned on unspent Prop 1B funds during fiscal year ended</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$241,437</td>
<td>$23,498</td>
<td>$264,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop 1B disbursements spent during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016</td>
<td>(16,230,171)</td>
<td>(352,307)</td>
<td>(16,582,478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspent Prop 1B funds as of June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$25,207,243</td>
<td>$3,590,807</td>
<td>$28,798,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Public Transportation, Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account

(2) Transit System Safety, Security & Disaster Recovery Account
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING
STANDARDS, THE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, CALIFORNIA
GOVERNMENT CODE §8879.50, AND STATE SENATE BILL 88 (2007)

Board of Directors
Orange County Transportation Authority
Orange, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise OCTA’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 31, 2016. Our report included an emphasis-of-matter regarding OCTA’s adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72 – Fair Value Measurement and Application, and GASB Statement No. 82 – Pension Issues, an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73, effective July 1, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered OCTA’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of OCTA’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of OCTA’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether OCTA’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, including the applicable provisions of Section 6667 of Title 21 of the California Code of Regulations, California Government Code §8879.50 and California State Senate Bill 88 (2007), et seq., noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards, the Transportation Development Act Section 6667 of Title 21 of the California Code of Regulations, California Government Code §8879.50 and the California State Senate Bill 88 (2007), et seq.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Laguna Hills, California
October 31, 2016