



Measure M2 Ten-Year Review

Measure M Success Derived From



- Measure M1 track record
- Strong technical base
- Local officials buy-in
- Stakeholders buy-in
- Public support
- Strong safeguards







M2 Ordinance No. 3 – Section 11



TEN-YEAR COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM REVIEW

At least every ten years the Authority shall conduct a comprehensive review of all projects and programs implemented under the Plan to evaluate the performance of the overall program and may revise the Plan to improve its performance.



M2 Ten-Year Review



Situation Analysis Research and identify external policy changes and review of land use, travel, and growth projections

Financial Analysis Evaluate the financial capacity of the sales tax revenue and identify changes to project cost estimates

Delivery Analysis Review of potential issues and constraints and progress of OCTA and jurisdictions in implementing the M2 Plan

Public Input Analysis

Assess public and stakeholder support for the M2 Plan



Conclusions

Situation Analysis



What affects Orange County's transportation system?

- Federal legislation
 e.g. ARRA, MAP-21, Rail Safety Improvement Act
- State legislation
 e.g. Proposition 1B, AB 32, SB 375, SB 743, and Complete Streets Act
- Policy, regulatory, and interest changes
 e.g. DD-43, Active Transportation
- Changes in land use, travel and growth patterns



Financial Analysis



Freeway Program

- Low interest cost
- Competitive construction market
- External funding opportunities
- Future cost escalation risks
- Streets and Roads
 - Funding levels = Revenue collected
- Transit
 - Two programs at risk
 - Overall category has adequate funding
 - Need to balance funds within the transit programs
- Environmental Programs
 - Funding levels = Revenue collected



Project Constraints



- Financial Constraints
 - Impacts of the 2008 Great Recession
- Regulatory Constraints
 - New state and federal requirements
- Resource Constraints
 - Limited manpower for oversight and requirements
- Physical Constraints
 - Limited right-of-way and capacity



Project Delivery / Progress Analysis



- M2 is in year five of a 30-year program
- Every project and program within M2 is underway
- More than:
 - \$900 million* has been allocated to improving freeways with every project moving forward in project delivery
 - \$1 billion* invested in streets and roads projects
 - \$900* million allocated for transit projects
 - \$55 million has been allocated for the Freeway Mitigation
 Program
 - \$38 million allocated for the Environmental Cleanup Program



Public Priority Analysis



- M2 Plan has strong community and stakeholder support
- Transportation network should include a variety of alternatives
- Emerging technologies should be considered
- Fulfilling voter commitment is essential



Conclusion



The Ten-Year Review Analysis Concluded That With Regard to M2 as Approved by the Voters...

- Situational Analysis no major changes needed
- Financial Analysis plan is deliverable with an adjustment in the transit category
- Project Delivery Analysis substantial progress has been made in delivery
- Public Priority Analysis M2 Plan continues to have strong public support and the commitment to the voters is essential to success



Proposed Amendment



Staff Recommends Amending the Transit category with the Following Actions:

- Intent of Project T has been fulfilled
- Transfer \$69 million from Project T to Project U
- Move remaining amount in Project T (\$150 million) to Project R
- Initiate the amendment process of the M2 Transportation
 Investment Plan



Next Steps



Process	Date
OCTA Board receives Ten-Year Review Report	October 12, 2015
Taxpayer Oversight Committee reviews Ten-Year Review Report	October 13, 2015
OCTA Board considers amendment and sets a public hearing date for December 14, 2015	October 26, 2015
Proposed amendment sent to local agencies and published	October 27, 2015
Taxpayer Oversight Committee considers/acts on amendment (requires two-thirds vote)	November 10, 2015
Public hearing on amendment and Board action (requires two-thirds vote)	December 14, 2015
Amendment effective 45 days following adoption	January 31, 2016



Board – Board of Directors 12